# European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences EpSBS

www.europeanproceedings.com

e-ISSN: 2357-1330

DOI: 10.15405/epsbs.2020.06.74

## **ERD 2019**

# **Education, Reflection, Development, Seventh Edition**

# TRANSVERSAL AND SPECIFIC COMPETENCIES IN THE PERCEPTION OF THE STUDENTS IN GEOGRAPHY

Daniel Andronache (a), Maria Eliza Dulamă (b)\*
\*Corresponding author

(a) Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, daniel.andronache@ubbcluj.ro (b) Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, dulama@upcmail.ro

#### Abstract

The purpose of the research is to analyse students' perceptions of their own specific and transversal competencies, the diversity and typology of the formulated competencies, competencies' formulation modalities. The data were collected using the survey method, and 30 geographic students answered the questionnaire. The data collection was done in 2019 by the survey method. In the seminar of the discipline Geography Didactics we have applied a questionnaire with two open questions: Which are the transversal competencies (besides disciplinary) you have trained and developed in different contexts, apart from university studies? Which are the specific competencies that you have trained and developed in the study program from the specialization for which you are preparing? The formulations were subjected to content analysis, qualitative text analysis and comparative method. We determined: greater diversity of categories and transversal competencies than that of the competencies specific to specialization; ascertainment of some competencies areas in the case of transversal competencies; presentation of hierarchies and classifications of transversal competencies; a higher average per student of transversal competencies, compared to that of specific competencies; different ways of presenting competencies (grouping by categories and subcategories, competencies areas enumeration; competencies enumeration); similar formulations as meaning in the name of a skill. The students in geography presented more comprehensive lists than those in previous series and demonstrated the use of transversal competencies in the context of the observed didactic activities.

 $2357\text{-}1330 \ @\ 2020$  Published by European Publisher.

Keywords: Students, higher education, competencies area, competencies typologies.

#### 1. Introduction

The inhabitants of the present space of Romania have proven throughout their historical time that they hold diverse competencies and developed at a high level (Scridon & Ilovan, 2015, 2016; Ilovan, Jordan, Havadi-Nagy, & Zametter, 2016), and in the pre-university and university education there was a permanent interest in training the competencies of those who learn (Dulamă & Ilovan, 2015; Dulamă, Ilovan, Bagoly-Simó, & Magdaş, 2019). At present, competencies represent the main aims of vocational education and training, specified in the official documents of education (legislation, programs and textbooks). In this study, the competencies will be analysed from the perspective of the definition of competencies mentioned in Art. 4. of the Law of national education (Parlamentul României, 2011): "multifunctional and transferable set of knowledge, habits / competences and competencies" (p. 2). Brien (1997) mentions that this set is made up of declarative knowledge, procedural knowledge and attitudes and that this assembly is activated in the planning and execution of a task that requires more operations. Regarding transversal competencies, Tsankov (2017) argues that they are formed in specific contexts and that they provide opportunities for the proper accomplishment of the person's practical activities.

The way of training the students' competencies, mainly of the geographers, and of assessing the level of competence has been the aim of many studies. Recent studies have focused, in particular, on the use of ICT and digital competencies in the training and development of other competencies of "digital native" students. Thus, the development of the specific competencies of the students in geography to analyse the urban landscape was studied and the changes within it using web-based research and visual imagery (Ilovan, 2019) and by using digital mapping (Ilovan et al., 2019). One study looked at how students use online apps, web sources and electronic devices to analyse and interpret the geographical processes in the field and in the laboratory (Rus et al., 2019). The digital competencies of the students in geography from generation Z has been harnessed in making didactic films about the use of teaching methods and means in some learning activities in order to support the training and development of the didactic competencies of the future geography teachers (Dulamă, Ursu, Ilovan, & Voicu, 2019). Although the Internet is a valuable source of information and working models, in training professional competencies in the academic environment, the teacher plays an essential role in designing and organizing activities for training and developing competencies (Dulamă, Ilovan, Ciascai, & Maroși, 2015), as well as in assuring feedforward and feedback regarding the level of competence achieved by the student and its growth modalities (Dulamă & Ilovan, 2016). The individual study carried out by the students was analysed and included in the category of transversal competencies Jucan (2014, 2016).

#### 2. Problem Statement

Students in geography who go through the program of psycho-pedagogical studies to become teachers learn about the theories and practices regarding competencies in order to be able to create to their students appropriate contexts to form the competencies provided in the official documents. In the scientific and didactic works in Romania there are different opinions about the concept of skill, about their typology and formulation, about the training and evaluation mode (Mândruţ & Dan, 2015), we asked about the students' perception regarding competencies. Because in studies it is shown that a teacher can

facilitate the training and development of the competencies he holds, to the students. (Dulamă & Ilovan,

2016), we asked ourselves what are the competencies that students have when discussing competencies.

3. Research Questions

The questions we sought to answer in this research are the following: What are the transversal

competencies that students in geography consider to have? What are the specific competencies for the

specialization that students in geography consider that they train and develop through the study program

they are currently pursuing? How do students formulate and describe their competencies?

4. Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this research is to analyse students' perceptions of their own specific and

transversal competencies the diversity and typology of the formulated competencies, competencies

formulation methods.

5. Research Methods

5.1. Participants

30 students from the Faculty of Geography - Babes-Bolyai University from Cluj-Napoca from five

specializations from the 2nd year, university degree level participated voluntarily in this research:

Geography (12 students), Geography of tourism (11), Territorial planning (3 students), Cartography (2

studenti), Hydrology, Meteorology (2 students). These students go through the program of psycho-

pedagogical studies to become teachers of geography.

5.2. Measurement instruments

The measurement instruments is made up of students' answers to the two questions to which they

replied in writing and which they presented orally during a seminar.

5.3. Procedure

The data collection was done in 2019 by the survey method. In the seminar of the discipline

Geography Didactics I have applied a questionnaire with two open questions: Which are the transversal

competencies (besides disciplinary) you have trained and developed in different contexts, apart from

university studies? Which are the specific competencies that you have trained and developed in the study program from the specialization for which you are preparing? The answers were presented in writing and

orally. Through the interview method we obtained the necessary information to clarify the content /

description of the competencies listed by the student. We processed the formulations of competencies by

the content analysis method, by the qualitative text analysis method and by the comparative method.

715

## 6. Findings

#### 6.1. Analysis of transversal competencies.

In Table 1 we grouped the transversal competencies formulated by the students into several categories. Students have formulated the competencies in several ways: mention of the competencies area (digital/sports/musical/communication/gastronomic competencies); mentioning the competencies areas and detailing within each area the competencies they have; enumeration of competencies without including them in a field. We note that the lists with the transversal competencies were much longer than those with the specific competencies. The average of transversal competencies (a - 10.13) is higher than the average of specific competencies (a -3.90) (Table 2). The larger number is explained by the fact that students are much better aware of the transversal competencies than the specific ones, probably because they have developed them to a higher level and because they have used them many times throughout their lives.

We note the diversity of competencies in the students in geography (116 competencies) and the competencies areas (23 areas) in which we have classified them according to the characteristic of their content. From the analysis of these competencies, we appreciate that the participants in the study form them during the pre-university study years (communication in Romanian and foreign languages, digital competencies, mathematical competencies, sports competencies etc.), and others in other contexts (children's clubs, private lessons, providing some jobs, family life etc.). During their presentation, we asked students to describe certain competencies that they claim to have (for example, Identifying the type of temperament; some techniques in the visual arts) or the context in which they were formed. We found that they described them (declarative knowledge and procedural knowledge) and they even applied / used them properly, in front of their colleagues (Identifying the temperament of the second author). Compared to the lists made by students from previous years, we emphasize that the lists of competencies of the current students have been much more comprehensive, more diversified. Also, in the course and seminar activities we noticed the high level of some competencies (oral and written communication, digital competencies, problem situations solving etc.), which represented a solid basis for the training of the competencies (Muste, 2016) for the career of teacher and a proof of the self-evaluation and the presentation with a low degree of subjectivity of their own transversal competences.

**Table 01.** Transversal competencies identified by students in geography

Skill area	Skill	Students no.	Total per area	
Gastronomy	Cake shop	9		
	Pastry	7		
	Preparation of pasta	5		
	Soup preparation	4		
	Preparation of steaks	4		
	"Plating" and Gastronomical design	1	30	
Foreign languages	English	18		
	French	9		
	Spanish	8		
	Hungarian	2		
	Latin	1		

	German	1	39
Digital/ICT	PC Operator (office)	16	
	Photoshop	3	
	Gamer/gaming	2	
	Management programs	1	
	Database creation	1	
	Programming	1	
	Hardware Mounting	1	25
Sport	Swimming	8	
- <del>-</del>	Roller Skates	7	
	Cycling	7	
	Volleyball	6	
	Skating	5	
	Handball	4	
	Basketball	3	
	Skating	3	
	Athletics	3	
	Skiing	2	
	Archery	2	
	Shotgun and pistol	2	
	Shotgun	1	
	Horse riding	1	
	Ping pong	1	
	Football	1	
	Martial arts (karate)	1	
	Billiards	1	68
Musical instruments	Canto/ Voce	4	
1/10/21001 1112/1 011101112	Piano	3	
	Guitar	2	
	Organ	2	
	Accordion	1	
	Trumpet	1	
	Saxophone	1	
	Mandolin	1	
	Flute	1	
	Violin	1	17
Dance	Unspecified	2	17
Builee	Popular	2	
	Society dance	1	5
The art of the show	Acting	3	
The art of the show	Modelling	1	4
Visual arts	Graphics	6	<u>'</u>
v isuai arts	Painting	3	
	Drawing	3	
	Photography	2	
	Technical drawing	1	15
Handmade	Origami	6	13
Tanamac	Sewing/Stitching/embroidery	3	
	Quilling	1	
	Zuming	1	

	I n	T 2	T
	Braids	1	10
	Modelling	1	13
Oral communication and written communication	Public speech/ elocution	3	
	Books reading	3	
	Poetry writing	2	
	Presentation of projects	1	
	Elaboration of literary texts	1	
	Elaboration of reports	1	
	dentification of grammatical errors	1	12
Communication through	Radio amateurism	1	1
devices			
Social	Team work	5	
	Conflict mediation	1	6
Management and	Organizing events	5	
marketing	8		
	Time management	2	
	Firm organization / entrepreneurship	2	
	Development of advertising materials	1	
	Personal career planning	1	
	Organization of religious events	1	
	Organization of workshops in children's	1	
		1	
	camps  A nimetion in Heliday contant	1	
	Animation in Holiday centers	1	
	Team management		
	Develop a plan	1	17
D	Analysis of risk situations	1	17
Environment/nature	Line fishing	4	
	Orientation in space / land	3	
	Survival in nature	1	8
Journalism	Interview	1	1
Psychology	Critical thinking	1	
	Identifying the type of temperament	1	
	To learn	1	
	Continuous learning	1	4
Theology	Unspecified	1	1
Mathematics	Unspecified	5	5
Car driving	Car	10	
	Scooter / motorcycle	2	
	Tractor	1	13
Services	Waiter	1	
	Post	1	
	Parcel post	1	
	First aid	1	
	Accounting	1	
	Placer in shows	1	
	Fashion designer	1	7
Construction and interior	Painting Painting	2	,
design	1	~	
4001611	Building	1	
	Plastering	1	
	1 Iastering	1	

	Floor Tile / tile installation	1	
	Electrical installations	1	6
Agriculture	Mowing the grass	1	
	Manual milking of cows	1	
	Wood cutting	1	
	Application of treatments for plants	1	
	Tree care works	1	
	Legume / root care services	1	
	Flower care work	1	7
Total		304	304

#### 6.2. Analysis of the specialization competencies.

In Table 2 we observed that the total of the specific competencies of a specialization listed by all the students of that specialization was the higher the number of students was greater. From the analysis of the average of the specific competencies identified by the students, we observe that, in the specializations with fewer students questioned, the average was higher, compared to the other specializations, where the average was lower: Cartography (a - 8.0); Hydrology Meteorology (a - 3.9); Territorial planning (a - 7.5); Geography of tourism (a - 3.09); Geography (a - 3.25). These averages are, however, influenced by the specificity of the specialization, not by the number of students questioned. In the specializations Cartography, Hydrology Meteorology and Territorial planning, the professions for which the students develop their competencies, have a predominant applicative character, characteristic that also influenced the specificity of the academic activities (courses, seminars, practical activities).

Regarding the specific competencies nominated by students for a specialization, based on the data collected, the following hierarchy is highlighted: Geography of tourism (9 specific competencies); Cartography (8 specific competencies); Hydrology Meteorology (7 specific competencies); Territorial planning (3 specific competencies). Although in the Geography specialization we could assign a number of 18 competencies, these were assumed to be formed also in students from the other specializations, many of these competences having a more general character. We also observed that, in the hierarchy of competencies that the students in geography develop, we have: digital skill (24 students – a share of 80%), skill of orientation in space / land (15 students - 50%), map analysis / interpretation / use (10 students - 33%).

**Table 02.** Specialization competencies identified by students

Competencies	Number of students who have identified the skill according to				Total	
		their specialization				
	Geography	Geography	Cartography	Territorial	Hydrology,	
		of tourism		planning	Meteorology	
Interpretation of synoptic					2	2
maps						
Analysis of weather maps					2	2
Synoptic code decryption					1	1
Weather forecast	1					1
Performing hydrological					2	2
measurements						
Chemical analysis of					2	2

			<u> </u>			
water					1	1
Execution of drills					1	1
Territory Planning and				2		2
design						
Research and analysis of	1			1		2
the territory						
Conducting social surveys				1		1
Tourism management		1				1
Analysis of tourism		3				3
statistics						
Business organization /		1				1
administration						
Organization of stays /		2				2
trips / hikes						
Tourist guide		2				2
Organizing a travel		1				1
agency		•				1
Managing customer /		1				1
supplier relationships		1				1
Allocation and		1				1
		1				1
management of material						
and financial resources		1				1
Planning and execution of		1				1
activities in the						
companies of commerce,						
tourism, services						
Use of professional GPS			1			1
devices						
Preparation of cadastral			1			1
documentation						
Topographic survey and			1			1
mapping						
Calculation of coordinates			1			1
Use of radar images			1			1
Making maps	1		2			3
Use of the total station	2		2			4
Topographic			1			1
measurements						
Cartographic					1	1
measurements					_	_
Map analysis /	6	2		1	1	10
interpretation / use				1	•	10
Digital competencies	10	8	2	3	1	24
(GIS, ArcGIS, ArcMap)	10	U		,	1	<del>_</del>
					1	1
Interpretation of satellite					1	1
images	5	0		2		1.5
Space/Land Orientation	5	8		2		15
Interpretation of	1			1		2
diagrams, graphs						
Identification of cause-				1		1
effect relationships						

77 0 1 10 1		ı			1	
Use of scientific language				1		1
Use of measuring	1					1
instruments						
Carrying out research	3					3
projects						
Realization of		1	1			2
professional projects						
Explaining geographical	3		2			5
phenomena / processes						
Use of bibliographic	1					1
references						
Data interpretation	1	1				2
(hydrological,						
meteorological,						
topographic, tourist)						
Analysis / description of	1					1
an urban system						
Field mapping	1		1			2
Analysis of the relief	1					1
Excel Use		1				1
Total number of students	12	11	2	3	2	30
Total competencies	39	34	16	13	15	117
Competencies average by	3.25	3.09	8	4.33	7.5	3.90
student						

From the analysis of the specific competencies formulated by the students, we notice several aspects: the nomination of some competencies areas (digital competencies; territory planning and design; territory research and analyse; topography competencies, cartography, meteorology, hydrology, marketing, sports competencies); connection of several competencies into one formulation (Interpretation of diagrams and graphs); detailing a skill area (digital competencies: GIS, ArcGIS, ArcMap; interpretation of geological maps, of flood risk; explanation of geographical phenomena/processes: geomorphological); constructing similar formulations as meaning (pace organization/ territory planning and design); Listing of transversal competencies (team work English, public speech, argumentation, projects presentation, data collection, information / data processing). A student refers to a skill level, being aware of the minimum level of some of his competencies).

## 7. Conclusion

At the end of the research, our main findings regarding the competencies presented by students in geography are: greater diversity of categories and transversal competencies than that of the competencies specific to the specialization; establishing some competencies areas in the case of transversal competencies; presentation of hierarchies and classifications of transversal competencies; a higher average per student of transversal competencies, compared to that of specific competencies; different ways of competencies presentation (grouping by categories and subcategories, listing of competencies areas; listing of competencies); similar formulations as meaning in a skill denomination. Students in

geography have presented more comprehensive lists than those in previous series and have demonstrated the use of transversal competencies in the context of the observed didactic activities.

#### References

- Brien, R. (1997). Science cognitive & formation. Montreal: Presses de l'Université de Québec.
- Dulamă, M. E., & Ilovan, O.-R. (2015). Development of the Geography school curriculum in Romania, from the 18<sup>th</sup> century to 1989. *Transylvanian Review*, 24(Supplement 1), 255-284.
- Dulamă, M. E., & Ilovan, O.-R. (2016). How powerful is feedforward in university education? A case study in Romanian geography education on increasing learning efficiency. *Educational Sciences Theory & Practice*, 16(3), 827-848.
- Dulamă, M. E., Ilovan, O.-R., Bagoly-Simó, P., & Magdaş, I. (2019). Development of the geographical education system in Romania, under the impact of World War II and during the transition to communism. *Transylvanian Review*, 24(Supplement 2) UNDER PRINT
- Dulamă, M. E., Ilovan, O.-R., Ciascai, L., & Maroşi, Z. (2015). E-learning Geography. How powerful is Facebook for Geography university students? *Proceeding of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Virtual Learning*, 121-127.
- Dulamă, M. E., Ursu, C.-D., Ilovan, O.-R., & Voicu, C.-G. (2019). Increasing generation Z geography students' learning through didactic films in university. *Proceedings of the 14<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Virtual Learning*, 79-85.
- Ilovan, O.-R. (2019). Studying the urban landscape at university: web-based research and visual imagery. *Proceedings of the 14<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Virtual Learning*, 70-78.
- Ilovan, O.-R., Jordan, P., Havadi-Nagy, K. X., & Zametter, T. (2016). Identity matters for development: Austrian and Romanian experiences. *Transylvanian Review*, 25(Supplement 1), 261-276.
- Ilovan, O.-R., Maroşi, Z., Adorean, E.-C., Ursu, C.-D., Kobulniczky, B., Dulamă, M. E., & Colcer, A.-M., (2019). E-Learning urban landscape changes in post-socialist Romania using digital mapping. *Proceedings of the 14<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Virtual Learning*, 62-69.
- Jucan, D. (2014). Specific strategies for a more efficient individual study. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 142, 427-433
- Jucan, D. (2016). The individual study a transversal competency of students. An observational study. *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai-Psychologia-Paedagogia*, 61(1), 53-62.
- Mândruţ, O., & Dan, S. (2015). Geografie. Curriculum şcolar. Ghid metodologic pentru învăţământul preuniversitar. Bucureşti: Corint.
- Muste, D. (2016). The Role of Communication Skills in Teaching Process. *European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 18, 430-434
- Parlamentul României (2011). Legea educației naționale. Monitorul oficial, 18, 1-63.
- Rus, G.-M., Dulamă, M. E., Ursu, C.-D., Colcer, A.-M., Ilovan, O.-R., Jucu, I. S., & Horvath, C. (2019). Online apps, web sources and electronic devices: learning through discovery about Valea Ierii [Iara Valley]. *Proceedings of the 14<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Virtual Learning*, 110-119.
- Scridon, I., & Ilovan, O.-R. (2015). The Zipsers' ethnic identity in Vişeu de Sus/Oberwischau, Romania, in the context of inter-ethnic relationships. *Mitteilungen der Osterreichischen Geographischen Gesellschaft*, 157, 151-168.
- Scridon, I., & Ilovan, O.-R. (2016). Approaching the Other in the Zipser community. Identity issues and methodological insights into geographical cross-cultural research. *Transylvanian Review*, 25(1), 55-73.
- Tsankov, N. (2017). Development of transversal competences in school education (a didactic interpretation). *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education*, 5(2), 129-144.