N Future Academy

ISSN: 2357-1330

https://dx.doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2019.01.02.61

Joint Conference: 14th ISMC and 8th ICLTIBM-2018

EVALUATING A PROGRAM ON HR WITH SPATIALLY STRUCTURED PERFORMANCE DATA

Marcello De Giosa (a)* * Corresponding author

(a) Department of Mathematics, University of Bari, via Orabona 4, 70125, Bari, Italy, marcello.degiosa@uniba.it

Abstract

A problem in Strategic Human Resource Management about evaluation of the impact of a training programme on performance has been faced in the case of areal spatially referred data. Generalized loglinear Poisson models with and without spatial component (with Besag specification) have been considered and compared. A Bayesian modelling approach has been adopted in the statistical data analysis. In order to avoid computational slowness of Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulations, the Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation method has been used in model fitting. It has been shown that the spatial structure, when considered, may improve the identification of the real drivers of performance. It follows that investigating hidden spatial structure in the data set should be a good practice when using data analytic tools in Strategic Human Resource problem solving. The interactions and interplay between areas and customers who live and act in geographically adjacent districts should be always considered in model fitting.

© 2019 Published by Future Academy www.FutureAcademy.org.uk

Keywords: Human resource, training programme, spatial structure, bayesian statistic, INLA.



1. Introduction

Companies cannot be competitive without good and trained people, and people are the very asset of companies. The return of well oriented investments on people may manifest itself in a very advantageous way (Sesil, 2013).

One of the key tasks of Strategic Human Resource Management could be an intervention aimed to impact some aspect of employee behaviour. It may happens that the intervention consists in the introduction of new sales tecniques and of a related training programme, and its goals is the improvement of the employee performance, measured in some way. Since any training activity may involve a considerable financial investment, any HR function should evaluate its potential return. In order to gain the increase in competitiveness that the training programme may offer, first the outcomes as part of a business case should be presented for approval. The evaluation process of the efficacy of a training programme aimed at improving employee performance should consider that several aspect and variables may impact the latter (Fitz-enz & Mattox II, 2014). If the goal of the training programme is an improvement of performance, it is important to quantify in the right way the amount of the eventual gain that can be attributed to each measurable variable and in particular the part due to the programme dintervention.

It is a diffuse and well known practise to evaluate the dependency of a measurable outcome of interest on some measurable variables, and to identify which variables really drive the output, by a regression model. When the response of interest is a counting variable, a Poisson regression model may be a preferable choice. It is one of the so called Generalized Linear Models (GLMs) originally formulated by McCullagh and Nelder (1989). A GLM is a generalization of the Normal linear model of classical regression allowing for response variable with a distribution other than Normal and link function other than identity. It may also happens that the outcome of interest is geographically referenced, that is each of its values is related to a known part of a spatial region, identified by its location. These kind of data, in the statistical literature, are called spatial data (Cressie, 1993). A particular case of spatial data are the so called Area referred data. In this case the observed outcome is composed of values that are each referred to one element of a finite collection of non-overlapping areal units. Each areal unit may consist of administrative boundaries (e.g., districts, regions, counties, etc.). There is a potential problem when the study area is partitioned in well defined districts or regions and random effects including regression parameters are assumed to be uncorrelated with those of areas nearby even when they are geographically adjacent. The interactions and interplay between areas and customers who live and act in them should be considered in the model (Arcaya, Brewster, Zigler, & Subramanian, 2012; Dong, Ma, Harris, & Pryce, 2016).

The aim of this study is to show that to be unaware of the spatial structure underlying the data can lead to wrong results and wrong identification of the relevant drivers of performance. The data considered concern the number of sold units, thought as a measure of performance, for each year (from 2008 to 2015) and for each of 32 London boroughs. Each boroughs is assigned to a sales manager. In the summer 2011 a voluntary training programme was proposed to sales managers aimed to improve performance by new customers' approach and sale techniques.

A Bayesian modelling approach has been adopted in the statistical data analysis. Bayesian models assume that model parameters are randomly distributed. From the computational point of view, Bayesian modelling is usually much more difficult than Frequentist modelling. To compute the parameters'

probability distributions, some variation of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method of simulation is usually adopted. MCMC calculations are relatively slow as a computational method. Even for simple models, the ability to quickly fitting models to data is crucial in explorative data analysis as well as in statistical modelling in general. A new method for fitting Bayesian models has been used here: the Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation (INLA). As an approximation method INLA is more than adequate with results usually practically identical to MCMC, but obtained in a very faster way.

All the statistical analysis and graphs in this paper have been carried out with the statistical software R (R core team, 2017) and some of its packages. We have taken advantage of the use of the *ggplot2* (Wickham, 2016), *maptools, spdep* (Bivand, Pebesma & Gomez-Rubio, 2013) and *brinla* (Wang, Yue, & Faraway, 2018) packages for graphical representations and computational aspects.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

In recent years, when managers interrogate and analyse data before making strategic decisions, HR analytics represents a growing trend and expanding research area. Several books have recently been published in the field of HR analytics. Fitz-enz and Mattox (2014) is a how-to guide to predictive analytics for Human Resources, filled with practical and targeted advice. It starts with the basic idea of engaging in predictive analytics and walks through case simulations showing statistical examples.

Sesil (2013) shows how to apply advanced analytics to bring objectivity to decision making, and improve employee selections, performance management, strategy alignment and more. Edwards and Edwards (2016) may help inquisitive HR professionals and students to understand, acquire and develop the main competencies needed in the emerging field of HR analytics. Among other things, Marr (2018) shows how data can contribute to organizational success also driving performance. He covers all key elements of data-driven HR, including performance management.

Bayesian methods are increasingly used in theoretical and applied statistics. A comprehensive book on the subject, between other, is Gelman et al., (2013). Lee, Rushworth, & Napier, (2018) present the first dedicated software package for Bayesian spatio-temporal areal unit modelling with conditional autoregressive priors, based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations.

Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation (INLA) is a very recent approach to Bayesian modeling based on advanced tools from the theory of stochastic processes. It is particularly advantageous from a computational point of view, with respect to traditional MCMC simulations (Rue, Martino, & Chopin, 2009; Rue et al., 2017). INLA has a computationally efficient implementation in the R-package R-INLA and has been widely used in practice. A clear and accessible reference for application of R-INLA method to spatial and spatio-temporal Bayesian model is Blangiardo and Camelletti (2015). A very recent review paper on spatial Bayesian modelling with INLA and the R-software is Bakka et al., (2018). In this paper we have benefited from the use of the methods introduced in (Wang, Yue, & Faraway, 2018).

3. Research Method

In this section we present the data set and the statistical models considered.

3.1. The Data

The time period under study comprises 8 years from 2008 to 2015. In the summer of 2011, a company's HR management has introduced a special training programme (with voluntary participation) to improve sales skills and ability to approach potential customers of sales managers. The study area consists of 32 London boroughs, each assigned to a sales manager. For each manager, the following key variables have been measured: *Gender* (Female or Male), *Training* (No=if the manager didn't participate in the programme; Yes=if the manager participate in the programme), *Age* (in years). For each district (associated with each manager), the annual *Sales* for the 8 years 2008-2015 have been recorded.

3.2. The Statistical Model

The observed output Sales is a counting variable and we assume that it has a Poisson distribution:

Sales ~ $Poisson(E \cdot \rho)$

where the mean is defined in terms of the rate ρ and the expected number *E* for each specific areal unit. *E* plays the role of an offset in the model and is a known quantity for each areal unity that should not be estimated. For more information about ways for computing *E* the interested reader are referred to Congdon (2017), Elliott et al., (2000), and Lesaffre and Lawson (2012).

In the first considered model, from now on called *model1*, an additive structure including the categorical covariates *Training*, *Gender* and *Age* and a nonlinear effect of *Year* is specified:

 $\log(\rho) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot Training + \beta_2 \cdot Gender + \beta_3 \cdot Age + f_1(Year).$

The log link is the natural choice for the Poisson model. The β 's are the regression coefficients of the linear effect and f_1 is the unknown function of the nonlinear effect in *Year*. β_0 is thought as the average outcome rate in the entire study region. We note that *model1* does not take into account the spatial dependence amongst the areal units.

To take into account the spatial piece of information, in a second considered model, *model2*, an unknown function f_2 of the spatial effect has been added:

 $\log(\rho) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot Training + \beta_2 \cdot Gender + \beta_3 \cdot Age + f_1(Year) + f_2(ID)$

where *ID* is a vector identifying each of the 32 London boroughs. We take a *Besag* prior on f_2 as specified in the following subsection.

The two considered models, *model1* and *model2*, have been compared on the basis of their DIC and WAIC scores (see subsection 3.4 below).

A third model, *model3*, has been considered to evaluate the effectiveness of the training activity. The total *Sales after training* has been modelled as a Poisson distribution:

Sales after training ~ $Poisson(4E \cdot \rho)$

where the mean is defined in terms of the rate ρ and the expected total number 4*E* for each specific areal unit for the four considered years after training. A log link with additive structure is specified:

 $\log(\rho) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot Training + \beta_2 \cdot MPS + \beta_3 \cdot Training \cdot MPS + f(ID)$

where the log rate $log(\rho)$ is a linear function of *Training*, *MPS* (Mean Proportional Sales before training) and their interaction. An unknown function f of the spatial effect with Besag spatial structure has been also added to the model.

3.3. The Besag Spatial Model

Our data set presents an *areal* spatial structure, where the observations are related to geographical regions (London boroughs) with adjacency information. We assume a Besag spatial structure as defined below (Wang, Yue, & Faraway, 2018).

A Gaussian increment is defined between neighbouring regions (regions that share a common border) i and j as:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) - f(\mathbf{x}_j) \sim N\left(0, \frac{\sigma_f^2}{w_{ij}}\right)$$

where x_i and x_j are the centroids of the regions and w_{ij} are the positive and symmetric weights.

Assuming the increments are independent, it can be shown that the full conditional distribution of $f(x_i)$ is normal:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i)| f(\mathbf{x}_{-i}), \mathbf{\tau} \sim N\left(\frac{\sum_{j \sim i} w_{ij} f(\mathbf{x}_j)}{\sum_{j \sim i} w_{ij}}, \frac{\sigma_f^2}{\sum_{j \sim i} w_{ij}}\right).$$

This prior is called *Besag* model because it is a special case of the intrinsic autoregressive models introduced by Besag and Kooperg (1995).

More specific or complex spatial structures can be adopted, such as the *Besag-York-Molliè* model. More details can be found in Besag, York & Mollie (1991) and Blangiardo and Cameletti (2015).

3.4. The DIC and WAIC

Model comparison have been based on DIC and WAIC. DIC stands for Deviance Information Criteria (Spiegelhalter, Best, Carlin, & Van der Linde, 2002). It is a score assigned to a model that take into account how good is the model fit and the effective number of parameters, that is the complexity of the model. The less the DIC the best the model. WAIC stand for Watanabe-Akaike Information Criteria (or Widely Applicable Information Criteria) and it has been introduced in Watanabe (2010). In some sense, WAIC is an improvement of DIC (Gelman et al., 2013), but for simple models they give very similar scores.

4. Findings

In this section the results of the statistical analysis are presented and interpreted.

4.1. Results of preliminary Explorative Data Analysis.

In the preliminary Explorative Data Analysis no outlier where found in the data set. From the comparative violin plot in Figure 1, it clearly appears that yearly Proportional Sales does not depend on Gender but does depend on Training.



Figure 01. Comparative violin plots of Proportional Sales versus Gender and Training.

4.2. Results of the Bayesian Statistical data analysis.

Instead of MCMC simulations, the INLA approach used in this study provides approximations to the posterior marginal distributions of the parameters. Summaries of these posterior distributions include posterior means and 95% Credible Intervals (CI), which play in Bayesian statistics the same role of the maximum likelihood estimates and 95% Confidence Intervals in classical Frequentist statistics.

The estimated distribution of the parameters of *model1* are summarized as follows for each categorical covariate in the model: *Training* (mean=0.364, 95% CI= [0.346,0.382]), *Gender* (mean=0.079, 95% CI= [0.061,0.097]), *Age* (mean=-0.021, 95% CI= [-0.025,-0.018]). Note that all CIs don't contain 0. It means that all the related coefficients should be considered significantly different from 0. That is all covariates in *model1* appears to be relevant drivers of *Sales*.

From the results of *model2* fitting, only the CI related to *Training* does not contain 0 (mean=0.426, 95% CI= [0.260,0.591]), that is *Training* is the only significant driver of *Sales*.

In model comparison, *model2* (DIC=4619.337, WAIC=5038.290) appears to be better than *model1* (DIC=6468.970, WAIC=6673.552).

Maps may be a very useful tools for representing areal data. In Figure 2 maps of *model2* fitted values are shown for each year. In the middle map the white units are those whose manager has not adopted the new approach. The non white areal units are related to managers that followed the training programme and adopted the new approach. It is easy to find units in the maps with low performance (green area) in the first four years (2008-2011), whose sales manager didn't adopted the programme and with low performance also in the following 4 years (2012-2015). There are also units that improved their performance from green to orange because the related manager followed the training programme and adopted the new approach. This kind of maps may be very effective in showing the impact of the training programme on each areal unit.

The estimated distribution of the parameters of *model3* are summarized as follows for each covariate in the model: *MSB* (mean=10.288, CI=[9.307,11.289]), *Training* (mean=0.734, CI=[0.602,0.861]), *Interaction* (mean=2.506, CI=[1.007,4.076]). Note that all CIs don't contain 0.



Figure 02. Maps of the mean fitted values obtained from the estimated model2.

5. Conclusion and Discussions

In field such as econometrics and social science, as well as in epidemiology, it is very common to deal with data set whose observations are referred to contiguous non-overlapping areal units. In this case we talk of areal unit data, a special type of spatial data. A large suite of modelling tools has been developed for analysing this kind of data structure with a Bayesian approach. The aim of this study was to show that in a typical investigation in Strategic Human Resource Management it might be very important to take into account the spatial structure of data. A problem of evaluation of the impact of a training programme on the sales managers' performance has been faced. The analysed data set is composed of areal unit observations referred to 32 London boroughs. It has been shown that if the spatial structure is not taken into account in the model fitting, results can be misleading and some irrelevant covariate may be wrongly considered a relevant driver of the outcome of interest.

We have first considered a model without considering the spatial component. In this model covariates like Age and Gender appeared to be relevant as drivers of the performance outcome. However when considering the spatial nature of our data it appeared clear that the only relevant covariate was Training, that is the training programme appeared to be the only real driver of the performance gain.

It should be a good practice, when applying data analytics in Strategic Human Resource Management, to pay attention to a possible spatial structure hidden among the data to be modelled. If the goal of a training programme is an improvement of performance, considering the spatial structure may help

in quantifying the right amount of performance gain due to the programmed intervention. Ignoring the real data structure may lead to misleading conclusions.

References

- Arcaya, M., Brewster, M., Zigler, C. & Subramanian, S.V. (2012). Area variation in health: A spatial multilevel modeling approach. *Health and Place*, 18, 24-31.
- Bakka, H., Rue, H., Fuglstad, G.A., Riebler, A., Bolin, D., Illian, J., ... Lindgren, F. (2018). Spatial Modelling with R-INLA: a Review. WIREs Computational Statistics, 10, 1-24. https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wics.1443.
- Besag, J., York, J. & Mollie, A. (1991). Bayesian image restoration, with two applications in spatial statistics. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 43, 1-59.
- Besag, J. & Kooperg, C. (1995). On conditional and intrinsic autoregression. Biometrika, 82, 733-746.
- Bivand, R.S., Pebesma E. & Gomez-Rubio, V. (2013). Applied Spatial Data Analysis with R. (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Springer.
- Blangiardo, M. & Cameletti, M. (2015). Spatial and Spatio-temporal Bayesian Models with R-INLA. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Congdon, P. (2017). Quantile Regression for Area Disease Counts: Bayesian Estimation using Generalized
 Poisson Regression. International Journal of Statistics in Medical Research, 6, 92-103.
- Cressie, N. (1993). Statistics for Spatial Data. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Dong., G., Ma, J., Harris, R. & Pryce, G. (2016). Spatial Random Slope Multilevel Modeling Using Multivariate Conditional Autoregressive Models: A Case Study of Subjective Travel Satisfaction in Beijing. Annals of the American Association of Geographers, 106(1), 19-35.
- Edwards, M.R. and Edwards, K. (2016). *Predictive HR Analytics: Mastering the HR Metric*. London, UK: Kogan Page.
- Elliott, P., Wakefield, J., Best, N. & Briggs, D., (Eds.). (2000). *Spatial Epidemiology: Methods and Applications*. Oxford University Press.
- Fitz-enz, J., & Mattox II, J.R. (2014). Predictive Analytics for Human Resources. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Gelman, A., Carlin, J.B., Stern, H.S., Dunson, D.B., Vehtari, A. & Rubin, D.B. (2013). *Bayesian Data Analysis* (3rd ed.). Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Lee, D., Rushworth, A. & Napier, G. (2018). Spatio-Temporal Areal Unit Modeling in R with Conditional Autoregressive Priors Using the CARBayesST Package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 84(9), 1-39.
- Lesaffre, E. & Lawson, A. (2012). Bayesian Biostatistics. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Marr, B. (2018). Data-driven HR. New York, NY: Kogan Page.
- McCullagh, P. & Nelder, J. (1989). Generalized Linear Models (2nd ed). London: Chapman & Hall.
- R Core Team. (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria*. http://www.R-project.org/.
- Rue, H., Martino, S. & Chopin, N. (2009). Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models using integrated nested Laplace approximations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, 71(2), 319-392.
- Rue, H., Riebler, A., Sørbye, S.H., Illian, J.B., Simpson, D.P. & Lindgren, F. (2017). Bayesian computing with INLA: a review. Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application, 4, 395-421.
- Sesil, J.C., (2013). Applying Advanced Analytics to HR Management Decision: Methods for selection, developing incentives and improving collaboration. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Spiegelhalter, D.J., Best, N.G., Carlin, B.P. & Van der Linde, A. (2002). Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit (with discussion). *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 64, 583-639.
- Wang, X., Yue, Y.R. & Faraway, J.J. (2018). Bayesian Regression Modeling with INLA. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Watanabe, S. (2010). Asymptotic equivalence of Bayes cross validation and widely applicable information criterion in singular learning theory. *Journal of machine Learning Research*. 11, 3571-3594.
- Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. (2nd ed). New York, NY: Springer.