

IcSEP 2018
International Conference on Sport, Education & Psychology

**A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PHYSICAL
CHARACTERISTICS OF JUNIOR VOLLEYBALL PLAYERS IN
ROMANIA**

Florin Voinea (a), Germina Cosma (b)*, Laurențiu Lică (c), Alexandru Cosma (d)
*Corresponding author

(a) Ovidius University from Constanta, Romania, voineafloirin09@yahoo.com

(b) University of Craiova, A.I Cuza Street, no.11, Craiova, Romania, germinacosma@yahoo.com

(c) University of Craiova, A.I Cuza Street, no.11, Craiova, Romania, laurentiu_lica2001@yahoo.com

(d) University of Craiova, A.I Cuza Street, no.11, Craiova, Romania, alexandrucosma_78@yahoo.com

Abstract

This research emerged from the need to develop new physical training strategies to increase long-term sports performance, because muscular training is the foundation of sports performance. The comparative analysis of the physical characteristics of the junior volleyball players in Romanian teams, can lead to the identification of a model of a volleyball player which can be used as a basis of comparison with other teams. This study was undertaken to determine the level of physical characteristics of the subjects of the research compared to the players of the other teams in Romania. The aim is also to compare the jump and global movement index according to the formulas agreed on by the Romanian Volleyball Federation. The Romanian Volleyball Federation provided the data for 31 teams that participated in the Federation's control tests at the beginning of the 2014 competition year, these assessments being a condition for participation in competitions. This study evaluated the data of 23 teams, most of them competing in the same groups with National College *Nicolae Titulescu* (NCNT) from Craiova in Romania. Statistical analysis was performed through the SPSS v21. Statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were found for the jump to attack but also for those tests requiring explosive force at the lower limbs. Major differences were also noted for frontal flexibility. The results showed that the federation's teams were superior for almost test, except the one that assessed the speed.

© 2018 Published by Future Academy www.FutureAcademy.org.UK

Keywords: Volleyball, juniors, physical characteristics.



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 Unported License, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Ways to improve sports training have resulted in volleyball becoming a real sport, but few know how much intelligence, work and dedication are required to make this sport a success (Gätej, 2011).

Volleyball is a sports discipline characterized by repeated jumping with maximum and sub-maximal effort followed by short pauses (Sheppard, Gabbet & Stanganelli, 2009). Thus, the volleyball player is obliged to make repeated maximum efforts (during the block or strike) for a period of about 90 minutes (Kaynak, Erylmaz, Aydogan & Mihailov, 2017; Polglaze, 1992; Vittasalo, 1987; Sheppard et al, 2009). These efforts require very good physical training to cope with the volleyball records. Physical training is therefore a component with a particularly important role in the preparatory process, which is the basis for the training of volleyball coaches (Ungureanu & Prisacaru, 2013).

Also, the physical characteristics of athletes are an important consideration for player performance (Gasparini, 2016) and the basis of sports performance is premised on the ability of the muscular system to provide muscle strength and maintain the physical activity (Hoffman, 2014). In volleyball, physical training is the foundation of the performance and crucial, especially at the junior level, in order to be prepared for the future senior championship games. This is because anthropometric variables can change during growth and physical abilities can be improved by the means of training but starting from position-tuned level can already make the difference in youth competition (Milic, Grgatov, Chamari, Ardigo, Bianco & Padulo, 2017). In volleyball, the most prominent physical characteristic that predict the physical performance determinants are variables related to speed, agility and body power (Barnes, Shilling, Falvo, Weiss, Creasy & Fry, 2007; Gabbett & Georgieff, 2007; Grgantov, Milic & Katic., 2013; Jakubsova, Vaverk & Jandacka, 2011).

2. Problem Statement

There is no information about the national level of physical characteristics in Romania for volleyball junior players which prompted this research which aimed to identify the evolution of Romanian junior volleyball teams. Additionally, the study compared the national results with the National College Nicolae Titulescu team, its objective being to qualify at the final tournament.

3. Research Questions

Would the comparative analysis of the main physical characteristics of the junior volleyball teams in Romania lead to an understanding of the actual level of NCNT Craiova volleyball team in order to identify the weaknesses of the players?

4. Purpose of the Study

The purpose of the research was to perform a comparative analysis of the physical characteristics of the NCNT Craiova team in comparison with the players of other teams in Romania, in order to develop certain guidelines for future trainings.

5. Research Methods

The assessment of the subjects was carried out by tests proposed by the Romanian Volleyball Federation, these being the explosive force, flexibility, speed, coordination and strength at the level of the back and abdomen.

The federation tests we took into consideration were the following:

Spike jump, with the touch of the highest point with one hand. From the strike momentum, a vertical jump is performed by touching one hand as high as the stretched arm. The best value from 2 attempts is recorded; *Vertical jump on the spot, reaching the maximum point with 2 hands.* With arm swing, jump on the vertical and reach *the highest point* with 2 hands; *4 m (45 ') lateral displacement* - From lateral lunge, touching the line with the hand, moving with steps added over the 4m distance in 45 seconds by touching the lines with your hand.

The number of inaccuracies is counted; *Triple jump from two on two legs* - Standing apart, three squat long jumps. The distance traveled is measured; *Front Flexibility* - Standing on a gym bench, bending the trunk while the distance between the fingers and the edge of the bank is measured. Point 0 is the bank level; *Abdominal strength*- From the back, with knees bent, lifting the trunk to 90 degrees. These correct iterations, in 2 series of 30 seconds, with a 15 second pause are to be counted; *General Motor Index* is calculated according to the FRV formula: jumping Index + Trajectory Index + Triple jump Index + Flexibility Index + motor Index + Abdomen Index; The jump index IS calculated according to the Romanian Volleyball Federation`s formula.

The processing and interpretation of research data was accomplished through the statistical program SPSS v.21 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences), a program that allowed us to produce statistical and mathematical indexes such as average, standard deviation, minimum and maximum value. We applied an Independent Sample T-Test in order to identify the significance of the means between our team and the other Romanian junior teams.

6. Findings

When jumping at a fixed point with 1 hand, the difference between the data registered with the initial test by the experiment group subjects and those in the other teams studied is -4.82cm. The 95% confidence interval for this difference varies from -4.98 to 5.98, with the difference between the two groups being statistically significant at the bidirectional level of 5%.

In this parameter, our team's subjects scored lower values than the average of the other teams' players, the jump for the attack being significantly weaker for NCNT Craiova athletes.

With the jump touching a fixed point with two hands, the difference between the averages registered in the experiment group and those in the other teams was 3,30. The 95% confidence interval for this differs from -8.69 to 2.09, with the difference between the two groups not statistically significant with 5% in the bidirectional level. And with the block jump, our subjects registered lower values than the other players.

In the testing of the lateral displacement speed, the difference between the initial tests values with the experiment group and the values from the other teams in the research is 0.51m. The confidence

interval is 95% for this difference and varies from -0.71 to 1.73, but the difference between the two teams is statistically significant at the 5% bidirectional level. With the testing of the frontal flexibility, the difference between the results recorded in the experimental group and the other teams in the research team is 2.55 cm. The confidence interval is 95% for this difference and varies from -4.42 to -0.69. Since the interval does not contain the 0.00 point, the difference is statistically significant in the bidirectional level of 5%, the values of the subjects in the experimental group being the same as that of the other teams.

Table 01. Descriptive statistics of the results

Descriptive statistics								
	Romanian junior teams			National College N. Titulescu, Craiova				
	X	SD	min	max	X	SD	min	max
Spike jump	309,17	4,19	300	319	303,83	10,5	290	323
Block jump	294,52	4,55	285,85	308,18	290,11	11,4	275	316
Lateral displacement	33,75	1,30	30,75	37,60	34,39	2,38	29	38
Flexibility	11,56	1,68	7,90	14,84	9	3,81	0	13
Abdominal strength	61,82	2,59	57,07	67,82	53,67	20,5	30	69
Triple jumps	759,08	24,6	711	830	737,67	38,35	650	800
Jump index	3,34	0,42	2,55	3,98	3,19	0,48	2,26	3,83
Motor index	0,78	0,15	0,44	1	0,82	0,19	0,57	1,23

We see a poorly low frontal flexibility for NCNT Craiova's subjects. In the testing of the abdominal strength, the difference recorded between the subjects of the experimental group and the teams in the research is of 8.14 repeats. The confidence interval is 95% for this difference varies from -17.2 to 0.98. Since the value contains 0.00 point, the difference between the two groups is statistically significant at the 5% bidirectional level. At this parameter, the subjects of the experimental group record lower values compared with those from the other teams in Romania.

The explosive strength of the inferior limbs, tested by the triple spike, registered differences of 22.41m in the experimental and research teams. The confidence interval is 95% for this difference varies from -43.02 to -1.80. Since the interval does not contain 0.00, the difference is statistically significant at the 5% bidirectional level. The jump ratio difference was 0.04 units between the experiment and the research teams. The confidence interval is 95% for this difference varies from -0.06 to 0.15. Because the interval value contains the 0.00 point, the difference between the two groups is therefore statistically not significant difference at the 5% bidirectional level.

The general motricity index recorded differences of 0.14 units in the experimental and research teams. The confidence interval is 95% for this difference varies from -0.4 to 0.14, and, since the value contains the 0.00 point, the difference between the two groups is statistically significant at the 5% bidirectional level.

Table 02. Statistical signification test for the studied parameters

Statistical signification							
	Levene's Test		t-test				
	F	Sig.	t	Sig.	Mean dif.	95% Confidence	
						min	max
Spike jump	19.98	0.00	-2.08	0.04	-4.82	-9.5	-0.14
Block jump	11.59	0.02	-1.24	0.22	-3.33	-8.69	2.09
Lateral displacement	6.94	0.012	0.849	0.40	0.51	-0.71	1.73
Flexibility	4.29	0.04	-2.77	0.09	-2.55	-4.42	-0.69
Abdominal strength	11.16	0.002	-1.80	0.07	-8.14	-17.2	0,98
Triple jumps	3.38	0.074	-2.20	0.03	-22.41	-43.0	-1.80
Jump index	1.1	0.3	0.76	0.44	0.04	-0.06	0.15
Motor index	0.33	0.56	-1.05	0.3	-0.14	-0.4	-0.14

The comparative analysis of the main motor parameters revealed a difference in the values expressed in the testing organized by the Romanian Volleyball Federation; the athletes of the Nicolae Titulescu National College team in Craiova were deficient in some tests and the general motricity index was weaker than in the other junior teams in Romania.

From the analysis of the main specific tests elaborated by the FRV we found the following:

- a. Regarding the peculiarity of the determination of one fixed quota with one hand, the difference was significant in favor of RVF equipment, with a difference of 4 cm.
- b. If at the strike in NCNT Craiova the results are inferior to the qualities of the other teams, the block jump of the means differences are statistically insignificant.
- b. The results of the lateral displacement represent the upper value of the index for the NCNT Craiova team, the difference being of 0.5 cm; Also the forward-backward displacement results represent the upper value for NCNT Craiova;
- c. Frontal flexibility, abdominal force and explosive strength at the level of the limbs have significant differences for RVF teams.
- d. Motricity and jump indices for each of the two samples analyzed reveal similar values.

The analysis of these features may be extremely important for the coaches, providing them with a comparison for the physical capabilities of the volleyball players they train. There are a few examples of studies that show the link between the motor and functional capacities of the volleyball players, so that the vertical jumps can predict the maximal anaerobic power (Kasabalis, Doua & Tokmakidis, 2005).

A new direction of the study will be to analyze the characteristics of the position player in Romania. A study conducted at the level of the younger volleyball players indicates the relative values are held by all the players, regardless of the playing post, regarding the level of leg strength, the maximum amount of oxygen consumption, the percentage of body fat and muscle mass. (Duncan, Woodfield & al-Nakeeb, 2006). In agreement with this research, Fonseca & Fernandes (2010), concludes that except the

libero, the other players display relatively equal physical characteristics, the selection of players, should look for linear and tall players, independent of player position.

7. Conclusion

This research has succeeded in analyzing the most important physical characteristics of junior volleyball players, taking into account the majority of the volleyball teams in Romania participating in the National Junior Championship.

After the comparative analysis of the main motor parameters influencing performance in the volleyball game, there were differences in the values expressed in the test organized by the Romanian Volleyball Federation; the athletes of the National College *Nicolae Titulescu* team in Craiova being deficient in certain tests and the overall motricity index was lower compared to other junior teams in Romania. With the present research, it was possible to identify the volleyball players' physical possibilities, taking into account the majority of volleyball teams, participating in the National Junior Championship in Romania. Those results encourage us to propose a better muscular training for our team, especially for lower limbs, with plyometric and stretching exercise. Depending on the stages and specifics of the training, the muscle training program proposed should be performed two or three times per week.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Romanian Volleyball Federation for the data provided in this research.

References

- Barnes, J. L., Shilling, B.K., Falvo M. J., Weiss, L.W., Creasy A.K., & Fry, A.C. (2007). Relationship of jumping and agility performance in female volleyball athletes. *J Strength Cond. Res.*, 21(4), 1192-1196.
- Duncan, M. J., Woodfield, L., & al-Nakeeb, Y. (2006). Anthropometric and physiological characteristics of junior elite volleyball players, *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 40, 649-651.
- Fonseca, C.L. & Fernandes, P.R. (2010). Analysis of antropometrical profile of Brazilian junior volleyball team. *International Journal of Morohology*, 28(4), 1035-1041.
- Gabbett T. & Georgieff B. (2007). Physiological and anthropometric characteristics of junior national state and novice volleyball players. *J Strength Cond.Res.*, 21(3), 902-908.
- Hoffman, J. (2014). *Physiological aspects of sport training and performance*, Human Kinetics Publisher.
- Kasabalis, A., Douda, H., & Tokmakidis, S.P. (2005). Relationship between anaerobic power and jumping selected male volleyball players of different ages. *Journal of Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 100, 607-614.
- Kaynak, K., Erylmaz, S.K., Aydogan, S. & Mihailov, D. (2017). The effect of 20-m repeated sprint training on aerobic capacity in college volleyball players. *Biomedical Human Kinetics*, 9, 43-50.
- Gasparini, S. (2016). The Major Role of Physical and Morphological Features in Competition Success, *Journal of Administrative Management, Education and Training*, 12(3), 234-236.
- Gătej, M. (2011). *Măsurare-Evaluare-Planificare Aplicație în volei*. Editura Universitaria Craiova.
- Grgantov, Z., Milic, M., & Katic, R. (2013). Identification of explosive power factors as predictors of player quality in young female volleyball players. *Coll Antropol.*, 37 (Suppl 2), 61-68.
- Jakubsova, Z., Vaverka, F., & Jandacka, D. (2011). Comparison of the lower extremities explosive muscular strength via jumping tests in different performance level and age groups of women volleyball players. *Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis Gymnica*, 41(4), 7-13.

- Milic, M., Grgatov, Z., Chamari K., Ardigo, L.P, Bianco, A., & Padulo, J. (2017). Anthropometric and physical characteristics allow differentiation of young female volleyball players according to playing position and level of expertise. *Biol. Sport.*, 34(1), 19-26.
- Polglaze, T., & Dawson, B. (1992). The physiological requirements of the positions in state league volleyball. *Sports Coach.*, 15, 32-37.
- Sheppard, J.M., Gabbet, T., & Stanganelli, L.C. (2009). An analysis of playing positions in elite men's volleyball- considerations for competition demand and physiologic characteristics, *J.Strength Cond. Res.*, 23(6), 1858-66.
- Ungureanu, A., & Prisacaru, A. (2013). The contribution of motor resistance quality in the physical training of C. S. S. Târgoviște junior b football team (15 – 16 years old), *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Braşov*, 6(55) No. 2 - 2013, Series IX: Sciences of Human Kinetics : 29-34.
- Vittasalo, J., Rusko, H., Pajala, O., Rahkila, P., Ahila, M. & Montonen, H. (1987). Endurance requirements in volleyball. *Can. J. Appl. Sports Sci.*, 12, 194-201.