N Future Academy

ISSN: 2357-1330

http://dx.doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2017.09.19

3rd icH&Hpsy 2017

3rd International Conference on Health and Health Psychology

PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGICAL PECULIARITIES AND LEARNING MOTIVATION OF STUDENTS WITH LEARNING DISORDERS

Juris Porozovs (a)*, DainaVoita (b), Irena Trubina (c), Toms Voits (d), Alvis Valdemiers (e) *Corresponding author

(a) Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy, Imantas 7.linija – 1, Riga, Latvia, juris.porozovs@rpiva.lv+371 26806992

(b) Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy, Imantas 7.linija – 1, Riga, Latvia, daina.voita@rpiva.lv+371 26437381

(c) Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy, Imantas 7.linija – 1, Riga, Latvia, irena.trubina@gmail.com +371 26778412

(d) Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy, Imantas 7.linija – 1, Riga, Latvia, toms.voits@rpiva.lv +371 29886220

(e) Riga Teacher Training and Educational Management Academy, Imantas 7.linija – 1, Riga, Latvia, alvis.valdemiers@rpiva.lv +371 26440856

Abstract

Learning disorders cause different academic problems for children. The task of teachers is to help to overcome these problems. 13 – 15 years old Latvian students with diagnosed learning disorders and control group students from Jūrmala school in Latvia which practices inclusive education were tested using Vienne Test System (VTS) tests and surveyed about their learning motivation and feeling in school. The VTS Cognitron test was used for assessment attention and concentration abilities and VTS Ravens Standard progressive matrices test was used in order to evaluate non-verbal general intelligence of students. It was found that attention and concentration abilities and IQ of students with learning disorders were lower in comparison with control group students. Many students with learning disorders have a more serious attitude towards school attendance than control group students. The opinion of teachers, classmates and parents about their learning achievements are very important for students with learning disorders attendents. Effective measures of inclusion and support for children with learning disorders enables them to feel happy and content at school and increase their learning motivation.

© 2017 Published by Future Academy www.FutureAcademy.org.UK

Keywords: Learning disorders, psychophysiological peculiarities, students, motivation, possibilities to concentrate.



1. Introduction

Learning disabilities (LD) describe a large group of individuals who have significant academic learning deficits despite average or higher than average intelligence (Lawrence et al, 2014). LD can refer to deficits in several domains including reading disabilities, difficulties learning and understanding arithmetic, and disabilities of written expression. LD is not a homogeneous disorder. While over the past decades, much research has been conducted in the field of LD, there is much disagreement and controversy about definition of LD and diagnosis, assessment and treatment of LD, intelligence of children with LD, causes and mechanisms of LD. The important task of teachers and researchers in this field is to find the best methods to overcome difficulties children with LD experience at school and to help them to succeed academically.

2. Problem Statement

LD refers to a condition in which a child fails to develop adequate academic skills, such as reading, writing, or calculation. A certain level of academic achievements is expected based on the child's level of intellectual functioning; deviations from the expected level are regarded as indicative of LD. Although most children with LD do not show clear evidence of brain damage using standard brain imaging techniques, there is evidence that children and adults with LD have differences in activation patterns and brain structure in different brain regions, for instance in the anterior left frontal region and two posterior left hemispherical regions (Deutsch & Davis, 2012).

2.1. Problems of people with LD and opportunities to solve them.

People with LD are likely to experience the complete spectrum of mental health problems throughout their lives and have different behavioural problems (aggression, destruction etc.) and additional long term health problems and disabilities such as epilepsy and sensory impairments (Barber, 2015). Research suggests that the cognitive functions of children with either learning disabilities or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) or comorbid ADHD and LD are impaired (Huang et al, 2016). Investigations have shown that adults with LD have significantly poorer executive function, deficient time management abilities, accompanied with negative emotional response, and lower perceived quality of life in comparison to adults without LD (Sharfi, & Rosenblum, 2017).

The task of teachers is to help overcome the difficulties students with LD experience. Different approaches are used for solving this problem. Verbal or non-verbal cues and communication tools, understanding and empowerment are effective principles in the work with people with LD (Heslop, Macaulay, 2013). There are many differences between students with LD of the same academic cohort in their abilities, learning opportunities, interests, social status, previous knowledge, and emotional and physical development. Very often these children manifest their behaviour by showing lack of attention or motivation for learning, emotional problems, behaviour disorders, difficulty in developing life skills and problems in motor skills and coordination, decrease in intellectual skills, poor work ethic, difficulty in organising their obligations, etc. In order to solve these problems, schools organise additional classes for students who have learning disabilities and help students with LD (Memedi, Ramadani, 2015).

For example, the intervention program "Joy of Reading" was created based on learning principles that were proven effective among children with LD. It included intensive exposure to daily structured reading activities, encouraging pupils' involvement in various reading and writing activities. Additional components, such as systematic exposure to schema story discourse, linguistic enrichment and social values, were added through various activities. The intervention program helped students with LD adopt reading habits as an enjoyable way to spend time (Tovli, 2014).

2.2. Academic, social skills and learning motivation of students with LD and teacher education for students with disabilities

It has been found that students with dyscalculia score significantly higher on measures of intrinsic motivation than other disability types (Luna, 2013). Students with learning disabilities, who have been diagnosed with attention deficiency, exhibit lack of motivation, apathy, difficulty in scheduling and organisation and adherence to schedule (Isabel, 2016).

An important factor is social skills training for children with LD. Students with LD in inclusive classrooms appear to be at greater social risk and have lower social status than the average academic achievers (Mostert, 2013). Teachers perceived students with LD to be less socially skilled than average. Children with LD fell below their peers on measurements of social problem-solving ability and verbal ability. At the same time students with LD are sensitive to a negative attitudes towards them. Students' attitudes toward students with LD are different. It was found that those students who have formed friendships with their LD suffering peers, exhibit more positive attitude towards them. These results indicate the necessity to reinforce student self-image and to encourage the students to socialise with students who have LD, in order to strengthen the positive and favourable attitudes of students, which will have positive impact on the success of the integration of students with LD (Gonen, Grinberg, 2016).

Teacher education plays a significant role for students with disabilities. The general line in teacher education is teaching and learning across both general and special education (Cavendish, Espinosa, 2013). There is a need for early identification of LD in schools so that with early recognition and remedial intervention children can be helped to cope with their studies (Bandla et al, 2017). In order to create effective work environment with students with LD, teacher collaboration with parents is essential. Child development depends on cultural and individual factors, and a culturally sensitive understanding of child behaviour can ensure better results for teachers and guide researchers in developing more efficient intervention programs, particularly for children with LD (Cen, Aytac, 2017). Findings have highlighted the need for individually selected accommodations matched to students' with LD needs and academic contexts (Weis et al, 2016). In order to solve these problems, more information about children with LD is necessary: it is needed to understand their psychophysiological peculiarities, factors that improve their learning motivation and factors that cause aversion to studies, their mood at school etc.

3. Research Questions

- 3.1 Is LD connected with psychophysiological parameters of students?
- 3.2 Does LD influence students' learning motivation and their feelings and mood at school?

4. Purpose of the Study

The purpose of the study was to evaluate psychophysiological peculiarities of children with LD, their learning motivation, reasons that cause aversion to learning and their feelings and mood at school.

5. Research Methods

18 Latvian students diagnosed with LD (Group A) and 18 students without LD (control group – Group B) were surveyed and tested using two subtests of the Vienna Test System (VTS). All students with LD had been diagnosed with a reading disorder. Some of the participants also had some other LD closely linked to reading disorders – writing disorders, difficulties to understand instructions etc. All participants were 13 – 15 years old. All students were from a school in Jūrmala, Latvia, where inclusive education is practiced and special support provided for children with LD. Specially developed tasks for children with LD are used in school and more time is given for children with LD for solving tasks, if it is necessary. Teacher assistants help teachers during lessons.

Psychophysiological parameters (ability to concentrate attention and non-verbal general intelligence) of students with LD were registered using VTS tests and compared with the same age and school students from control group. Surveys of both student groups about their learning motivation, reasons causing aversion to learning and mood and feeling in school were also carried out. Survey questions were developed as multiple choice, but instead of choosing one or more options, participants had to evaluate all options on a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 to 5. The data were processed using SPSS, version 20.

5.1. VTS Cognitron (COG) test

VTS Cognitron (COG) test (Schuhfried, 2017) was used for assessment of attention and concentration through comparison of figures with regard to their congruence. COG test consists of tasks where the respondent must compare different complex figures and make a decision about identity of said figures (see fig.1). If the figure in the bottom line is identical to one of the figures in the top row, then respondent must press the green button on the hardware panel, if the figure in the bottom line is not identical to one of the figures in the top line then respondent must press the red button on the hardware panel. Respondent should work as accurately and quickly as possible. Speed and accuracy of the work is registered. The following main variables are scored: 1) sum "hits" – correct positive answers where green button is pressed at required stimulus; 2) sum "correct rejections" - correct answers where red button is pressed at non-required stimulus; 3) mean time for "hits" – characterises the average mean time while the respondent decides that one of the figures in the top line corresponds to the comparative figure in the bottom line and presses the green button; 5) total working time (in seconds) while respondent completes the test.



Figure 01. Example of task from Cognitron test.

The S11 form of the COG was used in the study (60 tasks were given without time limit). In this program the respondent controls the speed at which the items are presented: the next item does not appear until a response has been entered. The test ends as soon as all the items have been completed. In COG form S11, 60 tasks are divided in 6 different groups of stimuli with different degrees of difficulty. After presentation of every 10 stimuli the group of stimuli is changed.

5.2. VTS Raven's Standard progressive matrices (SPM) test

VTS Raven's Standard progressive matrices (SPM) test (Raven et al, 2017) was used in order to evaluate non-verbal general intelligence through analysing non-verbal visuo-spatial thinking, spatial perception and attention. It is possible to assess one's ability to perceive the parameters of the object (shape, size), commitment of separate parts of the object with integrity etc., with the SPM test. Logical thinking is necessary for finding these connections. The test is easily enforceable regardless of the cultural and educational level. The S4 form of the SPM test was used in the present study. 32 items were provided to each participant for analysis. Items were divided in 5 sets with different characteristics (set A - 3 items; set B - 4 items; set C - 9 items; set D - 9 items; set E - 7 items). The following parameters of SPM test were registered: 1) total correct reactions during test; 2) correct reactions during set A; 3) correct reactions during set B; 4) correct reactions during set C; 5) correct reactions during set D; 6) correct reactions during set E; 7) total working time of the respondent (in seconds); 8) intelligence quotient (IQ) of the respondent.

6. Findings

The results of VTS Cognitron (COG) test have shown that sum "hits" (correct positive answers where green button is pressed at required stimulus) was statistically significantly (p < 0.01) higher for control group students (B group) in comparison with children with LD (A group) (see Figure 02). Sum "correct rejections" (correct answers where red button is pressed at non-required stimulus) was statistically significantly (p < 0.01) higher for control groups students in comparison with children with LD as well. These results show that control group students work more accurately when compared to with children with LD.



Figure 02. COG test results: average sum "hits" and sum "correct rejections" of students with LD in comparison with control group students (in number of reactions).

Mean reaction time for "hits" as well as mean reaction time for "correct rejections" was statistically significantly (p < 0,05) lower for control group students when compared to children with LD (see Figure 03). These results show that control group students work quicker than children with LD.



Figure 03. COG test results: mean reaction time for "hits" and mean reaction time for "correct rejections" of students with LD in comparison with control group students (in seconds).

The total working time during COG test was statistically significantly (p < 0.01) shorter for control group students in comparison with students with LD (see Figure 04). The results of COG test have shown that attention and concentration abilities of children with LD are much lower in comparison with control group children.



Figure 04. COG test results: total working time during test of students with LD in comparison with control group students (in seconds).

The results of Raven's Standard progressive matrices test (SPM) have shown that control group students made fewer mistakes during testing in comparison with children with LD (see Table 01). The total correct answers as well as correct answers in Set B, Set C, Set D and Set E were statistically significantly higher of control group students in comparison with students with LD. The total working time for control group students was shorter in comparison with students with LD.

with control group students.							
Group	Total correct answers	Correct answers Set A	Correct answers Set B	Correct answers Set C	Correct answers Set D	Correct answers Set E	Total working time (s)
Group A	14,4	3,0	2,1	4,3	3.9	1,1	379
Group B	23,8 <i>p</i> <0.01	3,0	3,1 p < 0.05	7,4 <i>p</i> <0.01	6,9 <i>p</i> <0.01	3,3 <i>p</i> <0.01	$480 \\ p < 0.05$

 Table 01.
 SPM test results (correct answers, total working time) of students with LD in comparison with control group students.

The IQ calculated from the SPM test results was statistically significantly higher (p < 0.01) for control group students in comparison with students with LD (see Figure 05). The obtained results show that non-verbal general intelligence of the tested students with LD is lower in comparison with control group students.





6.1. Students learning motivation

Students' answers about main reasons why they attend school are evaluated in Likert scale from 1 to 5 (1 – completely agree; 2 – rather agree; 3 – neither agree nor disagree; 4 – rather disagree; 5 – completely disagree with the statement). The mean response to each statement was calculated for students with LD and control group (see Table 02). The students with LD in general have a more serious attitude towards school attendance.

Table 02.	Students'	' opinion about reasons	to attend school	(in average	amount in	Likert sca	le from	1 to
	5).							

Question	Average response in	Average response in	
	Likert scale for group A	Likert scale for group	
		В	
Do you attend school because obtained	1.1**	1.7**	
knowledge is necessary for life?		<i>p</i> <0.01	
Do you attend school because knowledge is	1.4	1.8	
necessary in your chosen profession?			
Do you attend school because it is interesting	1.2**	2.5**	
in school?		<i>p</i> <0.01	
Do you attend school in order to achieve	1.2*	1.7*	
something in life?		<i>p</i> <0.05	
Do you attend school in order to receive a	1.4	2.1	
diploma?			
Do you attend school because your parents	1.6**	3.2**	
require you to learn?		<i>p</i> <0.01	
Do you attend school because your teachers	2.6	3.2	
require you to learn?			
Do you attend school because you meet	2.8	2.6	
friends in school?			
Do you attend school because it is your duty	1.1**	1.7**	
to learn?		<i>p</i> <0.01	
Do you attend school because studying is	2.8	3.0	
"stylish" (cool)?			

More students with LD agree that they attend school because knowledge is necessary for life, because it is interesting in school, in order to achieve something in life, because parents require them to learn, because it is their duty to learn (p < 0.05). Although the marks of students with LD in general are lower than control group students, the results of survey showed that students with LD understand the necessity to learn, receive diploma study for a profession and achieve something in life through learning.

Students' appreciation of statements about reasons, which motivate them to learn are evaluated in Likert scale from 1 to 4 (1 - yes; 2 - rather yes than no; 3 - rather no than yes; 4 - no) (see Table 03). The majority of students from both groups are motivated if learning material seems interesting for them, if learning material is important for their future profession, if they see the application of study material in real life etc. Students with LD are more motivated to learn if teachers and classmates appreciate their knowledge than control group students. Thus the opinion of teachers, classmates and also parents about their learning achievements are very important for them.

 Table 03.
 Students' opinion about reasons, which motivate them to learn (in average amount in Likert scale from 1 to 4).

Statement	Average response in	Average response in	
	Likert scale for group A	Likert scale for group B	
You are motivated to learn if the learning	1.6	1.7	
material seems interesting for you			
You are motivated to learn if the learning	1.8	1.7	
material is important for your chosen			
profession			
You are motivated to learn if you see the	1.4	1.8	
application of learning material in real life			
You are motivated to learn if the learning	1.4	1.5	
material gives ability comprehensively			
develop your knowledge			
You are motivated to learn if interesting	1.6	1.8	
learning methods are used during lessons			
You are motivated to learn if learning	1.8	2.1	
material is well-understood			
You are motivated to learn if teacher	1.3*	2.0*	
appreciates your knowledge		<i>p</i> <0.05	
You are motivated to learn if classmates	1.1*	2.3*	
appreciate your knowledge		<i>p</i> <0.05	
You are motivated to learn if parents	1.2	1.6	
appreciate your knowledge			
You are motivated to learn if you find	1.4	1.7	
something interesting and exciting during			
studies			
You are motivated to learn if you can	2.0	2.4	
demonstrate your knowledge			

Students' answers about main reasons which cause aversion to school subjects are evaluated in Likert scale from 1 to 5 (1 – completely agree; 2 – rather agree; 3 – neither agree nor disagree; 4 – rather disagree; 5 – completely disagree) (see Table 04). Important factors that cause aversion to school subjects both for students with LD and control group students are too much homework, incomprehension of the

subject, not enough new and interesting information and activities during lessons. For students with LD more important factors, which could cause aversion to learning are the negative attitude of parents and classmates to student in comparison with control group students (p < 0.05).

Statement	Average response in	Average response in	
	Likert scale for group A	Likert scale for group B	
Incomprehension of the subject	2.4	2.3	
Not enough new and interesting information	2.4	2.9	
and activities during lessons			
Boring presentation of the material	3.0	3.1	
Uniform teaching methods used in lessons	2.6	2.9	
Difficulties to focus attention during lessons	2.9	2.7	
Too much homework	2.2	2.2	
The inability to discern the sense of the	2.8	3.1	
learning			
The negative attitude of teacher to student	2.8	3.4	
The negative attitude of parents to student	2.8*	3.9*	
		p<0.05	
The negative attitude of classmates to student	2.4*	3.5*	
		<i>p</i> <0.05	

Table 04. Students' opinion about reasons, which cause aversion to school subjects (in average amount in Likert scale from 1 to 5).

6.2 Students' mood and feelings at school

Students were asked to provide answers about their mood and feelings at school in Likert scale from 1 to 5 (1 - a) always; 2 often; 3 – sometimes; 4 – rarely; 5 - never) (see Table 05). The majority of students like going to school and feel happy there. The feeling of students with LD in school is even better than control group students, but statistically significant differences between both groups were not observed

Statement	Average response in	Average response in
	Likert scale for group A	Likert scale for group B
I like to go to school	1.8	1.9
I smile at school	1.8	1.7
I am happy at school	2.3	2.7
I have a good mood at school	2.3	2.5
I worry before lessons	1.8	2.1
Teachers requirements are unrealistic	2.9	3.1
I have a headache or stomach ache before	2.8	2.9
lessons		
I am getting angry and quarrel at school	2.7	2.9
I feel support from my classmates at school	2.3	2.0
I feel tired when I come home from school	1.9	2.0
I have someone I can trust at school	2.0	2.4

Table 05. Students' mood and feeling in school (in average amount in Likert scale from 1 to 5).

7. Conclusion

Attention and concentration abilities of children with LD are lower in comparison with control group children. VTS results show that control group students work faster and more accurately in comparison with children with LD.

The IQ calculated from the VTS SPM test results is lower for children with LD in comparison with control group students. Non-verbal general intelligence of the examined students with LD is lower in comparison with control group students.

Many students with LD have a more serious attitude towards school attendance when compared to control group students. Students with LD are motivated to learn if teachers and classmates appreciate their knowledge but the negative attitude of parents and classmates towards the student are the most important factors for students with LD that could cause aversion to learning.

The feeling and mood of students with LD in school similar to control group students. Effective measures of inclusion and support for children with LD enables then to be happy and content at school and increase their learning motivation.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the participating school in Jūrmala, parents who kindly consented to their children's participation in the study, and Riga Teacher Training and Education Management Academy for support throughout all stages of the research.

References

- Bandla, S., Mandadi, G.D., Bhogaraju, A. (2017). Specific Learning Disabilities and Psychiatric Comorbidities in School Children in South India. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 39(1), pp. 76-82.
- Barber, C. (2015). Caring for People with Learning Disabilities : A Guide for Non-specialist Nurses. eBook., Database: eBook Academic Collection (EBSCO host), 60
- Cavendish, W., Espinosa, A. Teacher Preparation for Student Diversity and Disabilities: Changing Roles in Response to Intervention Models. *Learning Disabilities: Practice Concerns and Students with LD.* Jeffrey P. Bakken, Festus E. Obiakor, Anthony F. Rotatori, (Ed.). Advances in Special Education, 25, 189-205.
- Cen, S., Aytac, B. (2017). Ecocultural Perspective in Learning Disability: Family Support Resources, Values, Child Problem Behaviors. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 40(2), 114-127.
- Deutsch, G.K., Davis, R.N. (2012). Learning Disabilities. Handbook of Medical Neuropsychology. Applications of Cognitive Neuroscience. Carol L. Armstrong, Lisa Morrow (Ed.). New York, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London: Springer, pp. 237-250.
- Gonen, A., Grinberg, K. (2016). Academic Students' Attitudes toward Students with Learning Disabilities. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 4(9), 240-246.
- Heslop, P., Macaulay, F. (2013). What People with Learning Disabilities Say Helps Them. Understanding and Working with People with Learning Disabilities Who Self-injure. Pauline Heslop, Andrew Lovell (Ed.). pp. 71-79.
- Huang, F., Sun, L., Qian, Y., Liu, L., Ma, Q.G., Yang, L., Cheng, J., Cao, Q.J., Su, Y., Gao, Q., Wu Z.M., Li, H.M., Qian, Q.J., Wang. Y.F. (2016). Cognitive Function of Children and Adolescents with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Learning Difficulties: A Developmental Perspective Chinese Medical Journal, 129(16), 1922-1928.

- Isabel, C.D.M. (2016). From ADHD to psychosis... executive functions and time. *European Psychiatry*, 33, Supplement, 434.
- Lawrence, J., Lewandowski, J. & Lovett, B.J. (2014). Learning Disabilities. *Child psychopathology*. Third edition. Eric J. Mash, Russell A. Barkley. (Ed.) New York, London: The Gulford press, pp. 625-669.
- Luna, A.D. (2013). Predicting the Motivation in College-Aged Learning Disabled Students Based on the Academic Motivation Scale. Ph.D. Dissertation. The University of Arizona.
- Memedi, B.R., Ramadani, T. (2015). Organizing Additional Classes for Students with Learning Disabilities. *Vizione, 23*, 109-121.
- Mostert, M.P. (2013). Social Skills Training and Students with Learning Disabilities. *Learning Disabilities: Practice Concerns and Students with LD*. Jeffrey P. Bakken, Festus E. Obiakor, Anthony F. Rotatori, (Ed.). *Advances in Special Education*, 25, 87-112.
- Raven, J. C., Court, J. H. & Raven, J. (2017). APM Raven's Advanced Progressive Matrices. Retrieved from: https://www.schuhfried.com/test/APM
- Schuhfried, G. (2017). COG Cognitrone. Retrieved from: https://www.schuhfried.com/test/COG
- Sharfi, K., Rosenblum, S. (2017). Executive Functions, Time Organization and Quality of Life among Adults with Learning Disabilities. *PLOS ONE, 11*(12), 1-15.
- Tovli, E. (2014). "The Joy of Reading" An Intervention Program to Increase Reading Motivation for Pupils with Learning Disabilities. *Journal of Education and Training Studies, 2*(4), 69-84.
- Weis, R., Dean, E.L. & Osborne, K.J. (2016). Accommodation Decision Making for Postsecondary Students with Learning Disabilities: Individually Tailored or One Size Fits All? *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 49(5), 484-498.