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Abstract

The main aim of the article is to identify and present environmental costs of mining production in the context of Sustainable Development (SD) and a lifecycle of the mining enterprise. This aim is accompanied by two research questions: (1) What are the environmental costs of mining production in theory and practice in a lifecycle of mining enterprises? And (2) What are the key determinants of environmental costs of mining production in a lifecycle of the mining enterprise? In order to achieve this aim in the first part of the article the process of mining production is described from the long-term perspective including: projecting, building, operating and liquidation stage of a coal mine. On the basis of process analysis the identification of environmental costs is conducted in a model approach. And in the empirical part the environmental costs of mining production are analysed using international case studies. In the final part of the article the main theoretical and practical assumptions regarding environmental costs management in mining production are formulated. The results allow us to state that, the environmental costs of mining production are varied in the lifecycle of a coal mine and that they also depend on the geographical location of the mining enterprise. Environmental costs of mining production have to be predicted in a long-term perspective including also post-liquidation costs together with taking into account the sources of their covering and models of financing.
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1. Introduction

Extractive industries have an extremely harmful influence on the environment resulting from two main reasons. The first one is the character of their operational activity. The second one is the temporality of the mining enterprises operations at the particular area. Mining operations interfere with all segments of ecosystems in the case of both kinds of exploitation (underground, open pit or deep-seabed) (Fugiel et al., 2017; Le et al., 2007). They deform the surface, violate the hydro system and cause water, air and soil pollutions. Additionally, their activity generates huge quantities of waste that require appropriate storage and management. All these factors are the serious threats for human health and life, concerning both the mining staff and the members of local and regional communities (Caputa, 2015; Szromek et al., 2011). Furthermore, the negative influence of the mining enterprises on the environment is perceptible and noticeable not only during the exploitation but also many years after its completion. Meanwhile, fortunately for the mining enterprises, the temporality of their operating activities – defined and limited by the sufficiency of deposits - allows them to ignore the environmental consequences and to disregard the community’s social needs. After exhausting the current deposits, they would move on to find another attractive localization and start exploitation as new partners in new economic, geographical and civilizational conditions. This is not conducive to lasting economic relations and loyalty to the social and business partners (Brzostek & Michna, 2016; Gorczyńska, 2011).

2. Problem Statement

The above mentioned consequences are unavoidable because they are strictly connected with the mining operational activity. In such unfavorable circumstances, implementing the rules of Sustainable Development (SD) in the environmental perspective is a difficult and complicated task which requires mental changes, changes in the organizational culture as well as the additional financial inputs (Michalak, 2016; Bąk, 2007). Nevertheless, there are only two ways of making the extractive industries more environmentally friendly; the first one is to close all mining enterprises and the second one is to try implement the sustainable development rules into their strategies from the first stage of their existence until the end of their lifecycle, considering the extended time of their influence on environment after the coal mines’ liquidation (Stevens & Dixon, 2017).

3. Research Questions

There are two research questions:

(1) What are the environmental costs of mining production in theory and practice in a lifecycle of mining enterprises?

(2) What are the key determinants of environmental costs of mining production in a lifecycle of the mining enterprise?
4. Purpose of the Study

Taking into account the above arguments and problems, the main aim of this article is to identify and to present environmental costs of mining production in the context of Sustainable Development (SD) and a lifecycle of the mining enterprise. This aim is realized in three aspects:

1. theoretical – through analyzing the stages of the lifecycle of the mining enterprise,
2. fragmentary - through analyzing the international case studies of environmental costs in mining enterprises,
3. analytical - through analyzing the environmental costs in Polish coal mining.

In the conclusions, the article presents forecasting and management of environmental costs together with the directions of further research.

5. Research Methods

Research methodology is fitted to each of the mentioned stages. In the first stage the literature studies and process analysis are used to identify the main phases and processes of mining production and to assign environmental costs to selected process. In the second stage the case studies analysis is conducted on the basis of international literature review. And in the third stage the environmental costs in coal mining production in Poland are presented in the statistical perspective and main conclusions about their determinants are formulated.

6. Findings

6.1. Theoretical approach to environmental costs in the lifecycle of the mining enterprise

The lifecycle of a mine - which is the integral part of the mining enterprise - includes three main stages: (1) starting up, (2) exploitation and (3) liquidation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of the mine lifecycle</th>
<th>Activities in each stage</th>
<th>Environmental factors</th>
<th>Environmental costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting up</td>
<td>Choosing geological area</td>
<td>Obtaining a concession for exploitation.</td>
<td>Costs of obtaining a concession.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cost of environmental studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alternative costs of changing the plans in a case of deposit locations in protected areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recognizing the deposit</td>
<td>Identifying the natural and geological hazards.</td>
<td>Costs of geological and environmental expertise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Costs of forecasting the consequences of natural hazards for environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Costs of projecting the ways of protecting the environment from the consequences of natural hazards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Projecting the coal mine</td>
<td>Choosing the way of excavation (underground or open-pit).</td>
<td>Alternative costs of resignation from the underground exploitation which is usually less harmful for environment but causes a high decrease of mining production costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Costs of social dialogues and negotiations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In each stage the environment is exposed to the negative influence of mining production and in each this influence could be limited through appropriate management approach. Nevertheless, it usually requires additional time and financial inputs, so naturally the mining enterprises not always are interested in such activities that in practice means also implementing the rules of Sustainable Development. The main environmental factors and their costs are synthetically presented in Table 1 with taking into account the mentioned above stages of the mine lifecycle.

According to the data presented in Table 1, the catalogue of environmental costs in mining production is quite large and varied. The real level and the range of obligatory cost burden depends on two circumstances: (1) legal system and (2) sustainability of a mining enterprise. The legal system – characterizing each country – defines the environmental framework for mining production and its completeness, interdisciplinary and perspectives directly influences on the scale of environmental protection implemented by mining enterprises. Nevertheless, adherence to the rules of law is not the only environmental duty in the extractive industry, especially when the legal system is imperfect and does not regulate all aspects and activities of mining production. The extractive industries have to be aware of the

### Table 1: Costs in mining production stages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protection of underground and surface facilities and ecosystems</td>
<td>Identifying methods to prevent deformation of surfaces and pollution of water, air and soil.</td>
<td>Costs of projecting and implementing environmental protection methods such as: drainage systems, pillars, sewage treatment plants, waste management systems, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building the mine</td>
<td>Selecting the way of building the mine and carrying out construction works.</td>
<td>Costs of building all environmental protection systems. Local, regional and national environmental fees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical and/or chemical processing</td>
<td>Waste generation (Maheshi et al., 2015c). Waste storing.</td>
<td>Costs of recycling and utilization. Costs of waste transportation, storage and limitation its negative influence on ecosystems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation of minerals</td>
<td>Choosing the way of transport.</td>
<td>Costs of environmental friendly logistics (for example developing own railway transport). Costs of prevention of polluting access roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidation of infrastructure</td>
<td>Management of liquidated assets.</td>
<td>Costs of restoration of the pre-mining landscape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of mining excavation</td>
<td>Long-term protection of ecosystems.</td>
<td>Costs of protection of the excavation against collapsing and flooding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection the surface</td>
<td>Revitalization and reclamation.</td>
<td>Costs of revitalization and reclamation. Long-term costs of mining damages liquidation and compensations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: own study.
environmental risks they cause and responsibly prevent their generation and their consequences. It is this next step in thinking about environmental protection which separates normal and legal activities from sustainable activities (Ranängen, & Lindman, 2017; Bijańska & Wodarski, 2014).

But it is worth emphasizing, that the financial costs of being sustainable and responsible in mining production are really very high, even if these are only in the legal scale, so the mining enterprises often avoid environmental costs and in this way they become unstainable and irresponsible. In the next two paragraphs we present the analysis of environmental costs in different stages of the mine lifecycle using case study methodology.

6.2. International case studies of environmental costs in mining enterprises

In the stage of planning the mine and selecting its localization the environmental aspects become a subject of difficult discussions between the investors and local or regional communities which could delay the startup or even disable it. Therefore in this stage the proper preparation and gaining professional environmental knowledge is worth every effort and considering the environmental costs to convince the decision makers to agree to mining production. Furthermore, at this stage the cost of expert negotiations should be calculated and paid (Delgado & Romero, 2016). It is quite an important issue, because in practice the vote of the local communities could effectively stop the starting up of a new mine, even when the mining project has met the necessary legal requirements and obtained the required environmental permits to be operational, as for example in Southern Chile in a case of coal mining initiative called Mina Invierno (Bustos et al., 2015). A similar situation took place in Ecuador where local communities and miners obtained the claim to improve working and living conditions and reduce the social and environmental problems associated with gold mining located in Chinapintza district (Sánchez-Vázquez, 2016; Maheshi et al., 2015a).

During the exploitation stage the mining enterprises could invest in environmental protection or they could pay fines for environmental pollution and destroy their image and market value, but according to the research conducted in Colombia (Mining District of the Department of El Cesar) the first way is better, most effective and most efficient, because it allows for cost optimization. Results of research undertaken show that environmental externalities could be efficiently optimized and lowered than ex-post environmental fines, even if they are varied, changeable in time and quite high. In this Colombian area the environmental costs varied from 0,02 USD per tonne to 0,16 per tonne of extraction a year (Perdomo Calvo, & Jaramillo Pérez, 2012; Turek & Sojda, 2013).

Many studies confirm the above claim and is proof that balancing environmental, social and economic priorities is beneficial for all stakeholders of mining enterprises. At the starting up stage of a coal mine and planning the mining activities, the key factors determining their success are: 1) the temporal nature of mining operations; 2) spatial dimensions of mining operations; 3) irreversible changes that create post-mining landscapes; 4) social dimensions of mining impacts and corporate responsibility; 5) cumulative dimensions of impacts; and 6) a need to integrate methods from a range of disciplines (Lechner, et al., 2017). Forecasting all environmental and social costs including the above-mentioned aspects allows to estimate the efficiency of mining production in advance and intentionally influence their level without of a threat of unexpected financial consequences.
But in some cases the legal environmental regulations could cause the lack of economic efficiency at the exploitation stage and even lead to worsening the environment conditions. In Nevada in the United States of America, the introduction of a sophisticated regulatory regime for the gold coal mines belonging to the trans-national mining corporations resulted in a dramatic decrease of their financial profits and caused development of the small scale ore mines and the artisanal ore mining sites as well moving the gold mining production to less developed regions where the legal regulations were less restrictive and the level of mercury emissions (accompanying the ore excavation) was not limited. Finally, globally, the negative influence of mining production on the environment went up, so the problem of extractive industries should be considered not only on regional or national scales but the global perspective should be also taken into consideration (Gulley, 2017).

In reference to the above thread, in contemporary literature there are also some considerations concerning the differences in perceiving the environmental protection and Sustainable Development rules between small and medium enterprises and mining corporations. Due to the greater experience and resources’ potential the large mining enterprises are better prepared to implement environmental cost management and SD implementation. They have a long-term policy in CSR and they conduct a wide scope of CSR activities. SME focus on ad-hoc issues but they have already recognized the need to strengthen their environmental and social policies (Wirth et al., 2016).

At the liquidation stage the environmental threats and costs are especially visible, felt and harmful in the case of nuclear resources because of radioactive waste and the necessity of its appropriate storage. This problem nowadays – in the face of growing demand for nuclear energy – complicates life conditions in several African countries where existing uraniferous waste threatens scarce water resources and the health of local communities. Their unfavorable influence on the environment will last for hundreds of years. Meanwhile, resigning of excavation, in this case means loss of financial maintenance for many regions and communities because the share of the value of uranium production in African GDP exceeds 12% (Winde et al., 2017; Matshusa, & Makgae, 2017).

With reference to the above, many researches pay attention to necessity of including the reclamation costs not only in the last stage of the mine lifecycle but before the decision of starting it up. The environmental costs in the stage of liquidation are quite high and of long-term character and they have serious impact on the total return of investment in the mining enterprise. They significantly extend the payback period and decrease the rate of return (Espinoza, & Morris, 2017).

The holistic research on financial costs of environmental and social impact of mining shows that the level of costs per tonne in a case of open-pit coal mining in the whole lifecycle exceeds the unit price of this resource. These costs are higher after taking into consideration the health impact and climate change on a global scale (Cardoso, 2015).

6.3. Environmental costs in Polish coal mining

Coal mining is a strategic industry in Poland and hard coal is the key energy resource in Poland’s energy balance. Poland is also one of the top ten producers of hard coal in the world. Nevertheless, in the last 10 years - in conjunction with the progressive decarbonization policy in the European Union and the collapse of the coal price in the world market, this sector is treated as a declining one which is reflected in
decreased financial efficiency and in reduced demand. As a result, employment and production has been constantly decreasing (Figure 1).

**Figure 01.** Employment and production [in thousand tonnes] in Polish coal mining 2006-2014  
(Source: data of Ministry of Energy)

The environmental costs in Polish coal mining include three kinds of costs: (1) liquidation of coal mines and mining damages, (2) environmental fees and (3) reclamation costs. The structure of these costs in recent years is presented in Figure 2.

**Figure 02.** The structure of environmental costs in Polish coal mining (average of years 2006-2014)  
(Source: data of Ministry of Energy)

According to Figure 2, the costs of liquidation of coal mines and mining damages are the biggest financial burden for Polish coal mining enterprises which is the result of systematic reduction of coal mines (from 70 in 1990 to 11 in 2016) and the on-going mining waste recovery. Polish coal mines were and are located mostly in Upper Silesia Region which is strongly urbanized and equipped with a dense network of roads and highways and as a result it is the region strongly exposed to the negative influence of underground mining production.
The environmental fees are the second important group of costs. They are connected with water pollution, generating rock mass and extractive waste, and dust and gas emission (Brodny & Tutak, 2016). In spite of the decreasing level of production the total value of the fees stays rather constant with a small tendency to grow in conjunction with strict environmental restrictions in Europe causing the increase in environmental fees (Bluszcz, 2016). Additionally, the reduction in coal production is not clearly reflected in the reduction of the pollution of ecosystems. The limitation of extraction has not caused the decrease of environmental costs per tonne of production, either (Table 2).

### Table 02. Annual environmental costs in Polish coal mining per tonne of production in 2006-2014 [in euro]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs per a tonne in euro</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 086</td>
<td>1 223</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>1 239</td>
<td>1 424</td>
<td>1 093</td>
<td>1 050</td>
<td>1 095</td>
<td>1 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in % (year to year)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12,58%</td>
<td>-24,14%</td>
<td>33,62%</td>
<td>14,91%</td>
<td>-23,23%</td>
<td>-3,99%</td>
<td>4,28%</td>
<td>0,49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: own study on the basis of data of Ministry of Energy)

There are some periods of significant environmental costs reduction but it is only a temporary change because in a nine year research perspective these costs ranged from 1 086 euro per tonne in 2006 to 1 100 euro per tonne in 2014. Meanwhile, from 2006 to 2014 the production decreased by 33%.

The cost of reclamation is only 0.02% for two main reasons. The first is connected with the fact that these costs are often financed by local or regional governments or they are covered by the environmental funds or European projects and they are not shown in mining statistics. The second reason results from the small range of reclamation. Nevertheless, in the following years reclamation costs will be expected to grow due to intensification of coal mines’ liquidation processes.

The liquidation stage of the coal mines in Poland could and is financed from the public budget as in other European countries and it is supported by liquidation found accumulated in previous years by the operating mining enterprises (on the basis of mining law). However, this has been found to be insufficient in the face of the present problems of mining and the scale of liquidation processes.

### 7. Conclusion

The environmental costs in mining production are varied and high and in each stage of the lifecycle they comprise a significant burden for the mining enterprises. Therefore, the mining enterprises try to avoid the responsibility for environmental pollution and covering the environmental costs. It is especially visible in less developed countries where the legal protection is at a lower level and corrupt practices are more frequent. Nevertheless, even in civilized and economically developed regions the activity of extractive industries is controversial and mining enterprises are not welcomed.

To improve the image of the mining enterprises and to force them to be more environmental responsible and friendly it is necessary to:

- ensure complete legal regulations for mining activity at the national, regional and local scales,
• ensure honest and constant communication between the mining enterprises and regional communities in each stage of the mining lifecycles,
• predict, plan and manage the environmental costs in the long-term perspective,
• seek out methods of limiting the negative influence of extractive industries on the environment,
• guarantee the sources of funding for covering not only the current environmental costs but also the costs of post-liquidation stage such as the costs of liquidation mines and mining damages and the reclamation costs (for example in the form of economic insurance, public obligatory fund, co-financing with regional and local government units or gaining finance from environmental programs or projects).

Further research on environmental costs pertaining to the mining industries should focus on examining their level and determinants as well as on the methods of forecasting them. In terms of the importance of legal regulations a comparative analysis on an international scale could be also taken as a separate research plot. Additional important research directions lie in the gaining and optimization of financial structures for mines liquidation.
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