

Joint Conference: 14th ISMC and 8th ICLTIBM-2018

FRANCHISING AS A STRATEGIC ALLIANCE MODEL: A QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

Mutlu Arman (a) *, Nisa Ekşili (b), Funda Kıran (c), Özlem Çetinkaya Bozkurt (c)

* Corresponding author

(a) Pamukkale University, 20010, Denizli, Turkey

(b) Akdeniz University, 07070, Antalya, Turkey

(c) Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, 15100, Burdur, Turkey

Abstract

Franchising method based on sale of the right to use recognized trade name and organized business is a common type of strategic alliance. Franchising is noticed in Turkey like all over the World day by day and be preferred by especially small and medium sized enterprises. The purpose of the research is to reveal reasons for choosing franchising by franchisee, also the advantages and disadvantages of the system in terms of franchisee as a type of strategic alliance. According to defined purpose, data were collected by semi-structured interview method with 22 franchisees which are operating in the food and beverage sector in Antalya, Burdur and Denizli provinces. The obtained data were analyzed with MAXQDA 18.0 statistical packet program. As a result of the discourse analysis, “Power of brand” took place on the top among the reasons for selecting franchising. In terms of franchisee’s perspective, franchising’s first rank advantage is being a ready system. Also loyalty and entrance fee are top disadvantages. In addition, reasons for choosing a franchise, advantages and disadvantages were examined according to scale, the number of employees, the activity area and the duration dimensions.

© 2019 Published by Future Academy www.FutureAcademy.org.UK

Keywords: Strategic alliance, franchising, sme, food and beverage sector.



1. Introduction

Increasing competition under the influence of globalization forces companies to enter international markets. Companies search more reliable and fast way to access markets which is unknown for them. In this situation, the importance of strategic alliances between foreign and local companies appears. Especially extending market share and having competition advantage become the companies' main reasons of strategic alliance. Whatever the name is used, the global marketplace creates new strategic approaches to many industries. Starting usage of strategic alliance as an instrument frequently by today's companies which want to keep pace to global competition conditions made important strategic alliance once again. Strategic alliance has played an important role in international company activities especially in the early 20th century. Today, strategic alliance activities show fast growing development with food-beverage restaurant chains. Companies which base fast service like Mc Donalds, Burger King, Kentucky Fried Chicken and Piza Hut pioneer in food beverage sector development and these companies' sovereignty still continue. One of the basic facts behind the rapid progress at Fast Food Restaurants in the last thirty years in the world of business and the last fifteen years in Turkey is undoubtedly the franchise system which is used by system to grow. With this alliance model, fast-food businesses can grow on a large scale while reaching determined standards and new businesses can be established in many different regions. The purpose of this research is to reveal reasons for choosing franchising as a type of strategic alliance and the advantages and disadvantages of the franchisee.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

2.1. Strategic Alliance and Reasons for Choosing

Different definitions of strategic alliance have been made by the authors who showed different aspects of it but a common definition of strategic alliance has not been made in the literature. According to Lee (1999), strategic alliances are complex relationships between businesses and it can be defined as collaborative relationships carried out by two or more companies in order to achieve a strategic goal that will bring mutual benefit. In terms of Chan & Jamison (2001), alliance is the task of doing business together, integrating operational activities, sharing risks and creating a common culture in order to gain the competitive advantage of two or more companies. In other words; it is a strategy which express cooperating parties' contribution to the relationship with the different sources of capital, technology and specific resources (Lin, 2006). According to Besanko, Dranove, Shanley & Schaefer, (2009), strategic alliance is agreements which are made by two or more companies that are not involved in the same industry or vertical relationship in order to reach objectives or share know-how.

Strategic alliances are a way for companies to use the other companies' knowledge of production and learn new skills. The presence of a well-known and large partner in strategic alliances can provide social status to unrecognized companies (Stuart, 2000). There are lots of reasons that motivate organizations to make strategic alliances (Hitt Ireland & Hoskisson, 2003).

Table 01. Reasons That Motivate Organizations to Make Strategic Alliance

Greater market share	Lower uncertainty,
Ease of market entry,	Improving resources and capabilities or obtaining new capabilities,
Competitive advantage,	Strengthening by combining resources and capabilities,
Synergy and competitive advantage,	Incrementing company value,
Technology transfer	Obtaining resources and capabilities with alliances
Lower Risks,	Efficiency with economy of scale

(Source: Hitt et al., 2003).

2.2. Franchising as a Strategic Business Model

The absence of a common definition of strategic alliances in the literature has caused the authors to make different assessments on the content and types of Strategic alliances". Alliances between companies are classified in many different ways. Culpan (2002) divides strategic alliances into two types depending on whether they are capital-intensive or not.

Culpan (2002) also split non-capital partnerships into two groups. The first one is alliances which is established by the contribution of globalization and technological advances. License and franchising agreements are included this group. The other group has cooperations. These cooperations are technology partnership, joint production, joint marketing, supply chain partnership. In addition to these alliances; long-term procurement agreements, production sharing, R & D partnership and management agreements are also included non-capital partnership.

According to the definition of the National Franchising Association (UFRAD) franchising is the whole of a long-running and continuous business relationship that occurs when a concessionaire of a product or service gives the concession right to the second party to conduct business, providing information and support for the management and organization of the business within a certain period of time and limitations (UFRAD, 2001-2002). Also, Hitt, Ireland & Hoskisson, (2005) explain the franchising as an agreement that involves contractual relations regarding the identification and control of resources that are shared by joint ventures. It is a type of alliances which take the attention where the small and medium sized enterprises are competitor and none of the company is dominant. In a franchising agreement which is one of the most advanced strategic alliance types and based on vertical integration, a company who purchase franchising rights (franchisee) invest like its franchiser, gives the rights in detail for a specific area and operate under the franchiser's management and control even if it has different identity.

The most important question that an entrepreneur who wants to be a franchisee should ask himself is: "Why should I join a chain of franchisees instead of establishing and operating an independent business?". Researchers searching for answers to this question have often tried to determine the franchisee's most significant beneficial according to their perceptions. In a survey conducted in England, Franchisees' the most important superiority thanks to being in this system, summarized as follows: Nationally recognized brands are national wealth (Stanworth, 1977). In another study, franchisor support was identified as the most important factor (Hough, 1986). Baron and Schmidt (1991) stated that entrepreneurs who entered franchise system wanted an independent business, at the same time they found the franchising system attractive. Because the franchising system reduces the probability of mistakes and offers a proven concept

and brand name. In a study by Knight (1986), the most important reasons for encouraging a franchisee are the benefits of working with a known trademark, high level of independence and job satisfaction. In a study Peterson and Dant (1990) conducted in the United States, asked entrepreneurs who had previously owned their own independent business and entered franchise system to rank the most important advantages they had in this system. As a result of research, brand image and low development cost were found to be the most important advantages. Franchisees who have never had their own independent operating experience previously ranked the important advantages of being in this system as more independence and educational activities than other intermediaries in distribution channels. In the research conducted by Koç (2006), franchise acquisition reasons in Turkey are sorted as follows: "well-recognized brand", "no need to be trained and experienced" and "ability to take necessary help from franchisor". The main reasons for the franchising strategy in the literature vary from franchisee to franchiser (Sherman, 2003; Tuunanen, 2005).

2.3. Franchisee's Advantages and Disadvantages

The advantages and disadvantages of the franchising system vary according to the franchisee and the franchiser. Since the research is carried out with franchisees, advantages and disadvantages will be given only in terms of franchisee. Table 2 shows the advantages and disadvantages of the franchising system in terms of franchisee.

Table 02. Franchisee's Advantages and Disadvantages

Benefits	Drawbacks
1. opportunity to base one's business activities on proven market concept and a known brand.	1. limitation to one's own initiative and innovativeness resulting from the necessity to work based on a model proposed by the system's provider,
2. opportunity to start a business activity also in case of a person without the proper preparation in terms of knowledge and capabilities,	2. necessity to engage relatively large amount of finance in order to join the system,
3. content-related, technical and organizational knowledge provided to the recipients by the franchisor,	3. significant dependence on the franchisor's strategy and economic as well as financial condition,
4. access to the benefits of the economies of scale,	4. lack of full freedom in managing one's own business, with respect to e.g. ownership changes, restructuring or selling one's company,
5. opportunity to gain experience in running one's own business, based on the modern management methods,	5. growth limitations imposed on the company, referring to e.g. product or market development, or territorial expansion.
6. opportunity to gain stable economic and financial benefits.	

(Source: Grzelak & Matejun, 2013; Malara, 2009; Piecuch, 2010; Ziółkowska, 2010).

3. Research Method

3.1. Sample and Data Collection

The franchisees interviewed were reached using snowball sampling technique. This study was conducted with 22 firms managed by franchising and accepted the interview in Antalya, Burdur and Denizli province center. The obtained data were analyzed using the MAXQDA 18.0 statistical packet program.

3.2. Analyses

This study is a qualitative research that includes face-to-face interviews with franchisees in the franchising system. Semi-structured interview technique was used as data collection method in the study. All interviews were recorded in writing. Later, responses were analyzed by discourse analysis. Research questions were set in the first stage. In the second stage, categorization was done. While categories were created, similar categories were used in similar studies (Grzelak & Matejun, 2013; Tuunanen, 2005; Sherman, 2003). Encoding was done in the third stage. After reading the texts and understanding their meanings in the sample, they were categorized and then coded. In the last stage, interpretation has been made. In negotiations, the franchisees were asked questions about the business and the demographics of the participants and also the following questions were asked:

- What are the reasons to take franchise as a type of strategic alliance for you?
- What are the advantages of franchising as a type of strategic alliance for you?
- What are the disadvantages of franchising as a type of strategic alliance for you?

4. Findings

Demographic data of company owners and firms participating in the survey are included in table 3. The group that starts with the document name A represents Antalya Province, the group that starts with B represents Burdur province and the group that starts with D represents Denizli province. According to Table 3; 21 of the participants were male and 1 of them was female. When age distributions are examined, it is seen that 3 of them are under 30 years old, 5 of them are over 40 years old and 16 of them are between 30-40 years of age. When the level of education of the participants is examined, it is understood that 6 persons are graduated from high school, 1 person is associate degree, 14 people are undergraduate and 1 person is graduate degree. 8 of the interviewed franchisees operate in Antalya, 8 franchisees operate in Burdur and 6 franchisees companies also operate in Denizli. Looking at the year of operation of the interviewed companies, it is seen that 4 firms are under 1 year and below, 14 firms are between 1 and 5 years, and 4 firms are 5 years and above. In the distribution of the number of employees, it is seen that 7 firms have 10 employees and under, 14 firms have between 10-50 employees and only 1 company has 50 employees. 10 of the companies work with national franchisors and 12 of them work with international franchisors.

Table 03. Participating and Company Demographic Information

No	Document Name	Participant Information			Company Information			
		Gender	Age	Education level	Activity Area	Activity Year	Number of Employees	Franchisor Scale
1	A1	Male	34	High school	Antalya	2	12	National
2	A2	Male	35	Undergraduate	Antalya	2	2	International
3	A3	Male	35	Undergraduate	Antalya	4.5	2	International
4	A4	Male	40	Undergraduate	Antalya	5.5	45	International
5	A5	Male	49	Undergraduate	Antalya	5	40	National
6	A6	Male	33	High school	Antalya	3	3	National
7	A7	Male	38	Undergraduate	Antalya	6	50	International
8	A8	Male	34	Undergraduate	Antalya	2	21	International
9	B1	Male	25	High school	Burdur	8 months	9	National
10	B2	Male	26	Undergraduate	Burdur	1	6	International
11	B3	Male	25	Associate	Burdur	2	11	National
12	B4	Male	35	Graduate	Burdur	2.5	15	National
13	B5	Female	29	High school	Burdur	5	2	National
14	B6	Male	34	Undergraduate	Burdur	5 months	12	National
15	B7	Male	42	Undergraduate	Burdur	2.5	14	International
16	B8	Male	38	High school	Burdur	4 months	6	National
17	D1	Male	38	Undergraduate	Denizli	2	26	International
18	D2	Male	40	High school	Denizli	10	13	International
19	D3	Male	42	Undergraduate	Denizli	3	15	National
20	D4	Male	36	Undergraduate	Denizli	1.5	35	International
21	D5	Male	39	Undergraduate	Denizli	4	18	International
22	D6	Male	35	Undergraduate	Denizli	4	14	International

As a result of the analysis, firms' franchise acquisition reasons were collected under 9 categories. The frequencies of 87 phrases forming 9 categories are shown in Table 4. At the beginning of the reasons for buying franchises is the power of brand with 22 frequencies.

Table 04. Reasons to Franchise Acquisition as a Strategic Alliance Type Categories and Frequencies

No	Reasons to Take a Franchise	Frequency	%
1	Power of brand	22	25,29
2	Quality and standard product and service	13	14,94
3	Customer potential	11	12,64
4	Being a ready system	10	11,49
5	The prestige of corporate identity	9	10,34
6	Education and management consultancy	8	9,20
7	Low risk rate, profitable investment opportunity	7	8,05
8	Advertisement promotion possibility	5	5,75
9	Logistic support	2	2,30
	TOTAL	87	100

The franchisee's reasons for taking franchisees are shown in Table 5 in terms of activity area, number of employees, duration of activity and scale differentiation. According to this; the power of branding is at the forefront of the reasons why companies receive franchises operating with national and international scale franchisors. According to Table 5, while "power of brand" ranked first for firms operating in Antalya and Denizli, "quality and standard products and services" ranked first for companies operating in Burdur. It is seen that "Education and management consultancy" and "quality and standard products and services" take place at the beginning of the franchise acquisition reason for the companies whose operation period is one year or less. For those who work for 1-5 years, the most important reason for preference is the power of brand. For 5 years and over operated companies, "being a ready system" and "power of brand" is the reason for preference. In terms of the number of employees, the rank of power of the brand for both groups is in the first place.

Table 05. Differentiation of Reasons to Franchise Acquisition in Activity Area, Number of Employees, Duration of Activity and Scale Dimension

Reasons to Take a Franchise	Scale		Activity Area			Duration of Activity			Number of Employees	
	National	International	Antalya	Denizli	Burdur	1 year and less	1-5 years	5 years and over	10 and less	Between 11 and 50
Education and management consultancy	6	2	1	0	7	5	3	0	4	4
Low risk rate, profitable investment opportunity	3	4	5	1	1	0	6	1	2	5
The prestige of corporate identity	2	7	2	5	2	1	6	2	1	8
Customer potential	4	7	3	6	2	2	6	3	3	8
Logistic support	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	2
Quality and standard product and service	6	7	1	4	8	5	6	2	4	9
Advertisement promotion possibility	3	2	0	3	2	2	3	0	0	5
Being a ready system	4	6	4	1	5	2	2	6	5	5
Power of brand	9	13	8	8	6	2	14	6	7	15
TOTAL	38	49	25	28	34	20	47	20	26	61

As a result of the analyzes, the advantages of franchising are collected under 12 categories (Table 6). Accordingly, as a type of strategic alliance, the advantages of franchising include "being a ready system

(16,16%)", "education and management consultancy services (14,14%)" and " Power of brand (13,13%)" among the top three.

Table 06. Advantages of Franchising as a Type of Strategic Alliance

No	Advantages	Frequency	%
1	Being a ready system	16	16.16
2	Education and management consultancy	14	14.14
3	Power of brand	13	13.13
4	Logistic support	12	12.12
5	Efficient mutual advertisement	12	12.12
6	Product standard	7	7.07
7	Customer potential	6	6.06
8	Checking and comparison	5	5.05
9	Advantage of R&D and Innovation	4	4.04
10	Corporate identity benefits	4	4.04
11	Low risk rate, profitable investment opportunity	3	3.03
12	Business opportunity and self-employment	3	3.03
	TOTAL	99	100.00

The differentiation of the ideas about the franchising advantages of the companies in the activity area, number of employees, duration of activity and scale dimension are shown in Table 7. It is emphasized that the power of the brand is a very important advantage on the international scale, in the size of the companies operating in Antalya for 1 year or more and having 10 or less employees. The product standard is an advantage for companies operating on a national scale. The "checking and comparison" dimension for companies in Denizli and "corporate identity" dimension for companies in Burdur is the most important advantage. "Logistic support and corporate identity" is seen as the most important advantage for those who operate for 1 year or less. According to Table 7, the most important advantage for companies with 11 or more employees is "corporate identity".

Table 07. Differentiation of Franchising Advantages in Activity Area, Number of Employees, Duration of Activity and Scale Dimension

Advantages	Scale		Activity Area			Duration of Activity			Number of Employees	
	National	International	Antalya	Denizli	Burdur	1 year and less	1-5 years	5 years and over	Between 11 and 50	10 and less
Being a ready system	1	3	2	0	2	0	2	2	4	0
Education and management consultancy	1	6	1	5	1	0	6	1	7	0
Power of brand	6	10	8	5	3	1	10	5	9	7
Logistic support	6	6	6	1	5	3	5	4	7	5
Efficient mutual advertisement	2	4	0	6	0	0	6	0	6	0
Product standard	8	5	5	5	3	2	9	2	9	4
Customer potential	1	4	3	2	0	0	3	2	3	2
Checking and comparison	4	8	4	7	1	1	9	2	9	3

Advantage of R&D and Innovation	0	4	2	0	2	1	1	2	3	1
Corporate identity benefits	5	9	6	2	6	3	7	4	11	3
Low risk rate, profitable investment opportunity	2	1	1	1	1	0	3	0	2	1
Business opportunity and self-employment	1	2	0	3	0	0	3	0	3	0
TOTAL	37	62	38	37	24	11	64	24	73	26

As a result of the analysis, the disadvantages of franchising are divided into 8 categories (Table 8). According to this, the disadvantages of franchising include "Loyalty and entrance fee (22,73%)", "Unable to go out of concept (19,70%)" and "Loss of independence (19,70%)" among the top three.

Table 08. Disadvantages of Franchising as a Type of Strategic Alliance

No	Disadvantages	Frequency	%
1	Loyalty and entrance fee	15	22.73
2	Unable to go out of concept	13	19.70
3	Loss of independence	13	19.70
4	Dependence on the main company on supply	11	16.67
5	Franchisee standards and conditions for quality	6	9,09
6	Profit distribution decision provided by the franchisor	4	6,06
7	Decisions about the system provided by the franchisor	2	3,03
8	Lose creativity	2	3,04
	TOTAL	66	100

The differentiation of disadvantages of franchising in activity area, number of employees, duration of activity and scale are shown in table 9. According to this; "Loyalty and entrance fee" in the national dimension, and "Unable to go out of concept" in the international dimension are the most frequently repeated disadvantage. In terms of the activity area, it is seen as the first disadvantages of "Loss of independence" in Antalya, "unable to go out of concept" in Denizli and "Loyalty and entrance fee" in Burdur. Companies that operate for a period of one year or less in terms of activity duration have emphasized "Dependence on the main company on supply" as the most important disadvantage. At the same time, companies who have been operating for 1-5 years have emphasized that "Unable to go out of concept" is the most important disadvantage.

Table 09. Differentiation of Franchising Disadvantages in Activity Area, Number of Employees, Duration of Activity and Scale Dimension

Disadvantages	Scale		Activity Area			Duration of Activity			Number of Employees	
	National	International	Antalya	Denizli	Burdur	1 year and less	1-5 years	5 years and over	Between 11 and 50	10 and less
Lose creativity	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Dependence on the main company on supply	8	3	3	1	7	4	3	4	6	5
Unable to go out of concept	4	10	3	8	2	1	10	2	11	2
Loss of independence	4	9	7	4	2	2	7	4	10	3
Decisions about the system provided by the franchisor	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	1
Franchisee standards and conditions for quality	1	5	4	1	1	0	5	1	6	0
Profit distribution decision provided by the franchisor	3	1	1	0	3	1	0	3	2	2
Loyalty and entrance fee	10	5	4	4	8	4	11	1	12	4
TOTAL	33	33	23	19	24	12	39	15	49	17

5. Conclusion and Discussions

When academic studies related to the franchising system are examined in international literature, it is seen that there are studies evaluating the relationship between the franchisor and the franchisee from different points (performance, job satisfaction, power perception etc.). (Combs, Ketchen & Hoover, 2004; Parsa, 1999; Michael, 2000; Lee, 1999). Studies dealing with different aspects of the franchising system have been carried out in Turkey based on distribution, business and marketing system. However, there is a lack of work on how the system is perceived by the franchisee. From this point of view, the aim of this study is to reveal reasons for choosing franchising by franchisee, also the advantages and disadvantages of the system in terms of franchisee as a type of strategic alliance. The research was carried out on 22 business owners in the food and beverage sector that implemented a franchising model operating on three different provinces. At the end of the research, the following conclusions were reached:

The power of branding is at the beginning of the reasons why franchisees prefer franchising. This result supports some research results in the literature (Stanworth, 1977; Baron & Schmidt, 1991; Knight, 1986; Dant & Peterson, 1990).

As the type of strategic alliance, the advantages of franchising include "Being a ready system (16,16%)", "education and management consultancy services (14,14%)" and "power of brand (13,13%)" among the top three. The results are similar to the literature (Combs, Michael & Castrogiovanni, 2004; Stanworth & Smith, 1995).

As a result of the research, the disadvantages of franchising include "Loyalty and entrance fee (22,73%)", "Unable to go out of concept (19,70%)" and "Loss of independence (19,70%)" among the top three. These results also support the relevant literature (Stanworth & Smith, 1993). It is seen as the most

significant disadvantages of franchisee are dependence on the main company on supply and loyalty-entrance fee for companies in the national market with a number of employees under 10. Although the loyalty entry fee of national franchisors is lower than international franchisors, insufficient capital and investment repayment period for small businesses is perceived as disadvantage.

As a result, in future research, taking the views of the franchisors' opinions about the franchise model will also make a significant contribution to the field. The studies carried out with differentiated sample groups in terms of quantity and quality will enable to reach healthier and generalizable results.

References

- Baron, S. & Schmidt, R. A. (1991). Operational aspects of retail franchises, *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 19 (2), 13-19.
- Besanko, D., Dranove, D., Shanley, M. & Schaefer, S. (2003). *Economics of strategy*, New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Chan-Olmsted, S. & Jamison, M. (2001). Rivalry through alliances: Competitive strategy in the global telecommunications market. *European Management Journal*, 19 (3), 317-331.
- Combs, J. G., Ketchen Jr, D. J., & Hoover, V. L. (2004). A strategic groups approach to the franchising–performance relationship. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 19(6), 877-897.
- Combs, J. G., Michael, S. C. & Castrogiovanni, G. J. (2004). Franchising: A review and avenues to greater theoretical diversity. *Journal of Management*, 30(6), 907-931.
- Culpan R. (2002). *Global Alliances*, USA, Quorum Books.
- Dant, R. P. & Peterson, A. (1990). Perceived advantages of the franchise option from the franchisee perspective: empirical insights from a service franchise, *Journal of Small Business Management*, 28(3), 47-60.
- Grzelak, K. & Matejun, M. (2013). Franchising as a concept of entrepreneurship development in the SME sector, In M. Matejun & A. Walecka (Eds.), *Modern entrepreneurship in business practice: selected issues* (pp. 47-61). Lodz: Lodz University of Technology Press.
- Hitt M.A., Ireland R.D. & Hoskisson R.E. (2003). *Strategic management: competitiveness and globalization*, South Western: Thomson Inc.
- Hitt M.A., Ireland R.D. & Hoskisson R.E. (2005). *Strategic management, competitiveness and globalization*, Sixth Edition, South Western, USA, Thomson Inc.
- Hough, J. L. (1986). *Power and authority and their consequences in franchise organizations: a study of the relationship between franchisors and franchisees* (Doktoral dissertation), Retrieved from <https://ethos.bl.uk/OrderDetails.do?uin=uk.bl.ethos.372082>
- Knight, R. M. (1986). Franchising from the franchisor and franchisee points of view. *Journal Of Small Business Management*, 24 (3), pp. 8-15.
- Koç, M. (2006). *Bir girişimcilik modeli olarak franchising* (Master's Thesis). Retrieved from <https://tez.yok.gov.tr/UlusalTezMerkezi/tezSorguSonucYeni.jsp>
- Lee, H. C. (1999). Strategic alliances in the telecommunication industry: the case of korea telekom (Master's Thesis). Retrieved from file:///C:/Users/pc18/Downloads/000000004957%20(1).PDF
- Lee, S. B. (1999). *An investigation of factors affecting the quality of the relationship between franchisee and franchisor and its impact on franchisee's performance, satisfaction and commitment: a study*

- of the restaurant franchise system (Doctoral Thesis). Retrieved from <https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/bitstream/handle/10919/27390/title.pdf;sequence=1>
- Lin J., (2006). An analysis of strategic alliance formation from resource-based view, *Seminar in Business Strategy and International Business*, Helsinki University of Technology.
- Malara, Z. (2009). Franchising jako instrument kooperencji w działalności małych i średnich przedsiębiorstw, In A. Adamik, S. Lachiewicz (eds.), *Współpraca w rozwoju współczesnych organizacji*, Wydawnictwo PŁ, Łódź.
- Michael, S. C. (2000). The effect of organizational form on quality: the case of franchising, *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 43, 295-318
- Parsa, H.G. (1999). Interaction of strategy implementation and power perception in franchise systems, *Journal of Business Research*, 45(2), 173-185.
- Piecuch, T. (2010). *Przedsiębiorczość. Podstawy teoretyczne*, Warszawa: C.H. Beck.
- Sherman, A. J. (2003). *Franchising and licensing: two powerful ways to grow your business in any economy*, NY: Amacom, Saranac Lake.
- Stanworth, J. (1977). *A study of franchising in Britain*, London, England: University of West-minster.
- Stanworth, J. & Smith, B. (1995). *Franchising [Başarılı markalar ve siz]*, (Ercan Gürvit, Trans.), İstanbul: Epsilon Yayıncılık.
- Stuart, T.E. (2000). Interorganizational alliances and the performance of firms: A study of growth and innovation rates in a hightechnology industry, *Strategic Management Journal*, 21 (8), 791–811.
- Tuunanen, M. (2005). *Essays on franchising in Finland : empirical findings on franchisors and franchisees, and their relationships* (Report No. 37), Retrieved from University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä studies in business and economics website: <https://jyx.jyu.fi/bitstream/handle/123456789/38404/1/951-39-2111-5.pdf>
- UFRAD. (2001-2002). *UFRAD franchise rehberi*, Retrieved from Ufrad Yayınları website:<http://ufrad.org.tr/>
- Ziółkowska, M.J. (2010). *Franczyza. Nowoczesny model rozwoju biznesu*, Warszawa : CeDeWu.pl.