

ISMC 2021
16th International Strategic Management Conference**DETERMINING THE FACTORS THAT MOTIVATE
ACADEMICIANS: A RESEARCH ON DIFFERENT
GENERATIONS**

Leyla Sultanli (a)*, Aytac Bagirzade (b)

*Corresponding author

(a) UNEC, Baku, İstiglaliyyat str. 6, leylasultanli@yahoo.com

(b) Vyatutas Magnus University, Vytauto pr, 71A, Kaunas 44321, bagirzade_a@bk.ru

Abstract

The aim of this study is to ascertain whether the factors motivating faculty members belonging to different generations diverge or not. In this regard, initially, the literature on generation, motivation concepts, motivation theories and factors that motivate workers were reviewed. The research part was carried out with the participation of faculty members from three distinct generations working at Azerbaijan State Economic University. The data were collected through the "Academic Motivation Scale" developed by "Jaroslawa Kubatova and Adela Kukelkova". The survey was conducted to determine the motivations of faculty members and the work values that are important to them in the work environment. In the analysis of the data obtained as a result of the survey; frequency, reliability, T-test and One Way Anova were used. The data obtained at the end of the research were analyzed with the SPSS 22 statistical package program. In the analysis of the data obtained as a result of the survey; frequency, reliability, T-test and One Way Anova were used. Research findings revealed that factors motivating the Baby Boom generation, generation X and generation Y individuals vary. It has been revealed that the factors that motivate the workers belonging to the three generations participating in the survey differ according to the factors of marital status, work area and gender. Recommendations have been developed based on the research findings.

2357-1330 © 2021 Published by European Publisher.

Keywords: Academician, generation, motivation

1. Introduction

It has become one of the first missions of businesses to enable individuals in business life to go to their workplaces of their own accord, to increase their commitment to the environment they work in, to ensure the satisfaction of employees, who are internal customers for the workplace, as well as customer satisfaction. In the period when the number of factories gradually decreased after the industrial revolution, when the demand for crops was high, the people working were not valued, individuals were forced to work for low wages, high productivity and harsh conditions. Many innovations have been made in the working conditions of workers as a result of the increase in competition over time, the inability to sell every product produced, the establishment of unions due to the lack of respect for workers' rights and the formation of the concept of social state. In order to retain their employees, businesses have often started to use the factors that stifle them. Today, the existence of workers from many different generations in the content of the sectors has made it very difficult to motivate all employees in the smallest arrangement to be made. International businesses also face the same problems, and they have difficulty in using innovative and various incentives to motivate employees. Nowadays, examining the concept of generation and conducting researches and studies for application has become more important compared to the past. The reason for this is that for the first time in history, four generations with four different characteristics have worked side by side and the year intervals between generations have started to shorten with the rapid change of the period. In the literature review of our study, information is presented that individuals from different generations have various working behaviors and are motivated by different factors. From this information, it is concluded that the idea that organizations can motivate individuals in business life with a single tool is no longer true. The aim of this study is to determine whether the factors that motivate faculty members from different generations differ and what they are. When the literature is reviewed, it has been observed that there is no research in the Azerbaijani literature regarding the determination of the factors that motivate academicians from different generations. In this respect, this research will make a great contribution to the Azerbaijani literature. At the same time, it is thought that the research will provide a basis for and help the studies to determine the factors that motivate the next generation faculty members, and provide various benefits for faculty members, universities and educational institutions.

2. Literature Review

There are several analogous definitions in various sources regarding the concept of generation, which is outlined as the group of people who were born in exactly the same years, shared the characteristics of the same age and similar problems and were responsible for the identical tasks. The concept of generation is defined as "the community of people who lived and ended a period together in demography" (Saruhanli, 1996 as cited in Lotfi et al., 2013, p. 2). For Williams and Page (2011), the concept of generation is specified as a community of individuals who were born in the same period, lived in the social and economic conditions of the same period, and therefore undertook similar tasks and responsibilities. However, for Lagree, this definition is slightly dissimilar. Lagree used the concept of generation for a community of people who lived through the historical issues, problems and dangers of

the same period, socialized under the identical conditions and belonged to the same collective identity (Lagree, 1991).

Currently, 4 generations are working side by side. These generations can be listed as: Silent Generation (1925-1944), Baby Boom Generation (1945-1964), Generation X (1965-1981), Generation Y (1982-2000) (Wong et al., 2008). While the members of the Silent Generation are leaving the business life, the members of the Generation Z join the years of employment. In order to know the generations better, we can briefly refer to the basic characteristics of each of them and their behavior in business life.

Silent Generation. Historically, generation members born before 1946 lived during the First and Second World Wars. Generation members valued law and ethics, and quietly respected authority (Zhang & Bonk, 2010). Silent Generation members are the oldest group in work life. They are recognized for their contentious, business-oriented and delay of gratification structure in business life. Compared to other generations in business life, the members of the generation known as the most loyal employees are unfamiliar with the concept of job change (Kyles, 2005). Generation members whose youth has passed under difficult conditions consider providing lifelong employment in the institution where they started to work as the best option (Weingarten, 2009). According to the members of the generation, the basis of promotion to upper levels depends on having more seniority. In addition, they have been employees in business life who are loyal and affiliated with their company.

Baby Boom Generation. The Baby Boom Generation has been named the Baby Boomers because of the 1 billion babies born in the population explosion years just after World War II (Ayhün Erden, 2013). This generation focuses solely on themselves and their futures, unlike their mothers and fathers (Silent Generation), who attach importance to the state, love to share and put the existence and security of the government ahead of them (Lancaster & Stillman, 2010). The members of the baby boomers generation are hardworking, idealistic, notably loyal and they believe that working long hours in tough jobs is important. Generation members who live to work, while motivating themselves, assume that hard work and sacrifice is a price paid to achieve success. Generation members, who put great emphasis on salary, have valued their jobs above their families when necessary. Generation members who give weight to teamwork believe that they should be loyal to employers (Lester et al., 2012).

Generation X. Although different classifications are made in various sources, it is generally accepted that the X generation includes individuals born between 1961-1980 (Arsenault, 2004). The working to live principle of this generation differ from the living to work principle of their parents who are from Baby Boomers generation. The members of this generation, who witnessed their families being unemployed from time to time, have a hard-working and tight-knit structure. They have high self-esteem and enjoy autonomy because they have stood alone in childhood and took over early responsibilities. Therefore, they prefer to work individually rather than teamwork. It occurs that the members of this generation, who see the necessity of sacrificing and working hard for a successful career from their families, are always in search of a career that will be better for them. And from this point of view, it doesn't appear so much possible to say that they are loyal to the places they work. The important thing for the employees of Generation X is that their careers always rise, the Generation X member who cannot find this condition in a company will tend to leave this workplace (Berkup, 2015).

Y Generation. Generation Y can easily complete their daily work by using their technological skills creatively and can make use of technology in environments such as work and school (Albayrak & Özkül, 2013). This generation, who feels more independent while surfing on the Internet and therefore is engaged in their smartphones even in their beds, is in the position of being the predecessor of the technology addicted generations to come (Berkup, 2015).

Members of the Y generation are people who value taking responsibility with the influence of the environment and conditions in which they were raised and want to contribute to decisions (McCrinkle & Hooper, 2006). The characteristic of this generation which attaches importance to education is that it is demanding (Martin, 2005). Generation Y is the most demanding generation in business life. Generation members see the business life and the workplace as social spaces and going to work as socializing and getting together with people (Yüksekbilgili, 2013).

Generation Z. Generation Z includes individuals born in 2000 and later. Generation Z is a mysterious generation for humanity, as the feature evaluations of this generation do not go beyond estimation due to their young age and immature characters (Berkup, 2015). When the formation process of generations is examined and evaluated with today's conditions, anticipations are made for attaining certain predictions about the characteristics of the generation members. For Generation Z; It can be predicted that they will earn higher income, they will not be subject to geographical restrictions, they will undergo change in their social roles, and the desire to live alone will be awakened. At the same time, it is thought that Generation Z can be a generation that enjoys creativity and innovation, yet seeks reliance. It is also among the predictions that they will not see technology as a tool, but as a part of life. Compared to other generations, it is expected that this generation, who started education much earlier, whose educational processes last much longer and received planned trainings owing to developing conditions, will benefit from the long-term and effective education they receive in business life (Berkup, 2015). Generation Z members, who are expected to rise to top positions in global businesses, are anticipated to be the most impatient generation members ever.

In the literature review of our study, information is presented that individuals from different generations have various working behaviors and are motivated by different factors. From this information, it is concluded that the idea that organizations can motivate individuals in business life with a single tool is no longer true. The basis of motivation is to encourage employees in the workplace and to ensure that employees do their jobs more eagerly. Motivation, which can be defined as individuals' willingness to achieve a certain goal is the process of stimulating people along a determined path (Hodgetts, 1999). Today, definitions of motivation are generally similar to each other. According to Daft and Marcic (2004), the concept of motivation is the power that increases the determination inside or outside the individuals so that they can maintain a certain desire (cited in Shah et al., 2016). Motivation cannot be observed by people and has an indefinite structure. The only way to measure motivation is to monitor the behavior of individuals (Mubeen & Reid, 2014). A mountaineer setting up a camp, a young person preparing for visas, a successful manager, are people who are open to learning and motivated to realize a specific goal. As can be seen, motivation encourages and encourages individuals to achieve their goals and explains the reason for their actions to prove themselves. Therefore, motivation is a very important psychological concept for individuals. The 1950s and 1960s were a rich period for the

emergence of concepts related to motivation. Even if they are criticized or questioned, the four theories, which are given the most known explanations about worker motivation, emerged in this process. These theories are Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs Theory, McGregor's X and Y Theory, Herzberg's Double Factor and McClelland's Theories of the Need for Achievement. Different explanations about motivation have been developed over time (Robbins et al., 2013). The point that is emphasized in all motivation theories is that there are different ways and means to mobilize individuals in order to get the desired results. Each theory emphasizes its own reason and means that drive the individual into action. In business life, managers benefit from these incentive tools to motivate employees. The point that should not be forgotten while using these encouraging tools is that each individual is unique and therefore can be influenced by different incentives. The tool that is stimulating for one person may not make a sense to the other. We can list the motivation tools that managers use to actuate employees as follows:

- Income
- Security
- Promotion Opportunities
- Attractive Job
- Task Significance
- Status
- Personal Authority, Delegation of Authority and Gaining Strength
- Respecting Private life
- Providing Opportunities to Participate in Decisions
- Fair and Continuous Disciplinary System

3. Research Method

3.1. Purpose and Importance of the Research

The aim of the research is to determine the factors that motivate academicians representing three different generations. In addition, it was investigated whether the factors motivating faculty members show a statistically significant difference according to gender, title and years of employment.

3.2. Research Hypotheses

In the study, it was tried to determine the factors that motivate the academicians who represent different generations. For this purpose, the following hypothesis has been developed:

H1: The factors that motivate academics differ significantly from generation to generation.

At the same time, answers to the following questions were sought within the scope of the research:

1. What factors motivate X, Y, Z generation?
2. Do the motivating factors of academics representing different generations show a statistically significant difference according to their gender, working time and title?

3.3. Scope of the Research

The population of the research consists of academicians of Azerbaijan State Economic University. Sample selection method was used due to some limitations. Random selection method was used as the sample selection method. The survey was delivered to 101 academicians within the scope of the research. The questionnaires were sent to the participants via email and social media. It is assumed that the terms in the questionnaire are understood correctly by the participants, the answers given are realistic, the questions are answered conscientiously and not completed under any pressure.

3.4. Data collection tool

A questionnaire was used to collect data in the study. The questionnaire form was sent to the faculty members and data were received at the next stage. It has been reported that the answers to the questionnaire will only be used in the research process. The questionnaire applied in the research consists of two parts. In the first part, questions were asked about the year the faculty members were born and the gender, marital status, educational status, and tenure of the individuals. In the second part of the questionnaire, "Motivation Factors Scale" developed by Jaroslawa Kubatova and Adela Kukulkova (2014) and adapted to Turkish in Azizhan Esiroglu's (2017) thesis was used to measure the factors that motivate individuals. The scale consists of 24 statements, and in measuring the expressions, a 10-type scale was used as "0, does not affect my motivation at all" and "10, affects my motivation positively".

3.5. Data Analysis Techniques

The information obtained through the questionnaire was analyzed with the "SPSS for Windows 22.0" package program. In order to determine the robustness of the research results, the data were subjected to reliability examination. The Cronbach Alpha value was applied for the reliability analysis. Frequency analysis was used to determine the distribution of demographic characteristics of the participants, arithmetic mean to measure perception levels of motivation factors, T-test and one-way Anova tests to measure whether motivation factors show a difference according to demographic variables.

3.6. Limitations of the study

Most of the research in the social sciences has limitations for different reasons. But COVID-19 has made the situation even more difficult. There have been significant changes in the working patterns of organizations. Mostly, remote working practice is carried out in organizations. This situation makes our work necessary to obtain the necessary permissions, deliver the surveys to the employees, provide feedback, correctly perceive the survey questions, etc. matters will be difficult. Due to both COVID-19 and the lack of time, the sample size may not be sufficient to represent the population, so generalization will not be made.

3.7. Findings and Comments

For Demographic characteristics of faculty members, see Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of faculty members

Variable		N	%
<i>Gender</i>	Female	43	43
	Male	58	57
	Total	101	100
<i>Marital status</i>	Single	24	24
	Married	77	76
	Total	101	100
<i>Education status</i>	Undergraduate	50	49
	Graduate	33	
	Postgraduate	18	
	Total	101	100
<i>Generations</i>	Baby Boom Generation	55	54
	X Generation	38	38
	Z generation	8	8
	Total	101	100
<i>Title</i>	Teaching Staff	24	24
	Advisory Teacher	50	49
	Associate Professor	22	22
	Administrative Staff	5	5
	Total	101	100
<i>Years of employment</i>	Less than 1 year	6	5
	1-3 years	23	23
	4-6 years	15	15
	7-10 years	16	16
	More than 10 years	41	41
	Total	101	100

3.8. Research Reliability

For scale reliability, Croanbach's Alpha coefficient between 0.60-0.79 means that the scale is quite reliable, while this coefficient between 0.80-1.00 means that the scale has high reliability.

Table 2. Reliability Analysis

Croanbach Alpha	Number of Items
.973	24

As can be inferred from the above table 2, the Cronbach Alpha coefficient was determined as 0.973. The Croanbach Alpha coefficient of the motivation factor scale being 0.973 > 0.80 indicates that the scale has high reliability.

3.9. Answer of Research Question 1

The arithmetic mean of the motivating factors were found and presented in the tables below in order to answer the first research question of the study, "Which factors motivate the X, Y, Z generations?".

Table 3. Factors motivating Generation X

	Average
The interesting scope of the work	8.2500
Innovation opportunities	8.0000
Autonomous decision making	7.7500
Professional development opportunities	7.3750
Career promotion opportunities	7.1250
Business environment	7.8750
Flexible working opportunities (working from home and flexible working hours)	7.6250
Atmosphere at workplace	7.8750
Encouragement of the workers by the manager	7.1250
Task autonomy	7.6250
Works that obtain results in a short time	5.6250
Works achieved after long studies	7.3750
Getting verbal feedback from the manager	4.6250
Knowing what is required from you	5.8750
Working with people from different cultures	7.5000
Opportunities to use new technologies at work	8.2500
High financial reward when the task is completed	6.5000
Non-financial returns (benefits; private health insurance, free lunch offer, etc.)	2.6250
Wage system	7.0000
Teamwork	5.1250
Working on unusual and difficult tasks	4.8750
Working with professionals in the sphere	5.8750
Opportunities to use the foreign language at work	7.7500
Internship opportunities in foreign countries	5.1250

As can be seen in Table 3, among the factors that motivate the X generation, the 3 factors that get the highest value, “the interesting scope of the work”, “opportunities for innovation” and “opportunities to use new technologies at work” are the most motivating factors for the X generation members throughout their working processes.

Table 4. Factors motivating Generation Y

	Average
The interesting scope of the work	7.9737
Innovation opportunities	7.0000
Autonomous decision making	7.2632
Professional development opportunities	7.4211
Career promotion opportunities	7.1842
Business environment	7.6053
Flexible working opportunities (working from home and flexible working hours)	6.8158
Atmosphere at workplace	7.6842
Encouragement of the workers by the manager	6.2632
Task autonomy	6.6579
Works that obtain results in a short time	6.4474
Works achieved after long studies	6.4211
Getting verbal feedback from the manager	6.3158

Knowing what is required from you	7.1053
Working with people from different cultures	6.0789
Opportunities to use new technologies at work	6.5789
High financial reward when the task is completed	6.3158
Non-financial returns (benefits; private health insurance, free lunch offer)	5.5526
Wage system	7.4474
Teamwork	7.0789
Working on unusual and difficult tasks	6.2368
Working with professionals in the sphere	7.2632
Opportunities to use the foreign language at work	7.1053
Internship opportunities in foreign countries	6.0526

As can be inferred from Table 4, among the factors that motivate the generation Y, the 3 factors that get the greatest value, “the interesting scope of the job”, “Workplace atmosphere” and “wage system” are the most motivating factors for the Y generation members throughout their working processes.

Table 5. Factors motivating Generation Z

	Average
The interesting scope of the work	8.1091
Innovation opportunities	6.8545
Autonomous decision making	7.4545
Professional development opportunities	7.0182
Career promotion opportunities	7.0000
Business environment	7.3455
Flexible working opportunities (working from home and flexible working hours)	6.4000
Atmosphere at workplace	7.2727
Encouragement of the workers by the manager	6.7455
Task autonomy	7.4727
Works that obtain results in a short time	6.2000
Works achieved after long studies	6.1636
Getting verbal feedback from the manager	6.5455
Knowing what is required from you	7.4364
Working with people from different cultures	6.7818
Opportunities to use new technologies at work	6.7091
High financial reward when the task is completed	6.3273
Non-financial returns (benefits; private health insurance, free lunch offer, etc.)	5.0000
Wage system	6.5455
Teamwork	6.9273
Working on unusual and difficult tasks	5.8000
Working with professionals in the sphere	5.8000
Opportunities to use the foreign language at work	6.9818
Internship opportunities in foreign countries	6.7455
The interesting scope of the work	6.2909

As can be seen in Table 5, among the factors that motivate the generation Z, the 3 factorsthat get the highest value, "The interesting scope of the work", "Autonomous decision making" and "Task

autonomy (Autonomy)"are the most motivating factors for the Z generation members throughout their working processes.

3.10. Answer of Research Question 2

T test was used in paired groups and one-way ANOVA was used in more than two groups to answer another research question of the study, "Do the factors that motivate academicians representing different generations show a statistically significant difference according to their gender, years of employment, and title?" For ANOVA results according to the variables of years of employment and title of the factors that motivate Generation X academicians, see Table 6.

Table 6. ANOVA results according to the variables of years of employment and title of the factors that motivate Generation X academicians

Variables	Scale	N	Sd	F	p
Title	Teaching Staff	1			
	Advisory Teacher	0			
	Associate Professor	7	1-6	.255	.632
	Administrative Staff	0			
Years of employment	Motivating factors				
	Total				
	Less than 1 year	1			
	1-3 years		1-6	.065	.807
	4-6 years				
	7-10 years	7			

For the factors that motivate the academicians of the generation X, no T test was performed in paired groups. Because the members of generation X who took part in the survey consisted of only male participants. However, a one-way analysis of variance (one way ANOVA) was conducted to determine whether motivation factors differ statistically according to the title and years of employment, based on the answers given by the X generation academics involved in the survey. As a result of the test, it was found that the factors stimulating the X-generation academicians did not show a statistically significant difference according to the title and years of employment, since the p value was $0.632 > 0.05$ and $0.807 > 0.05$.

For the T-test results of the factors that motivate the generation Y academicians by gender, see the Table 7.

Table 7. The T-test results of the factors that motivate the generation Y academicians by gender

Scale	Variable	N	\bar{X}	Ss	Sd	T	p
Motivating factors	Gender	Female	5.43	1.87			
		Male	7.64	2.83	36	2.898	.094

$p < .05$

A T test was used to find out whether the factors motivating the generation Y academicians differ significantly according to gender.

As a result of the T test performed to compare the influence of motivating factors on female and male members of the Y generation, it was revealed that there was no statistically significant difference according to gender, since the p value was $0.094 > 0.05$.

For ANOVA results of the factors that motivate Y generation academicians according to the variables of years of employment and title, see the Table 8.

Table 8. ANOVA results of the factors that motivate Y generation academicians according to the variables of years of employment and title

Variable	Scale	N	Sd	F	p	
Title	Motivating factors	Teaching Staff	14	3-34	.184	.906
		Advisory Teacher	12			
		Associate Professor	11			
		Administrative Staff	1			
		Total	1			
Years of employment		Less than 1 year	3	4-33	1.146	.352
		1-3 years	5			
		4-6 years	6			
		7-10 years	23			

A one-way analysis of variance (one-way anova) was conducted to determine whether motivation factors differ according to the years of employment and title, based on the answers presented by the generation Y academicians participating in the survey. As a result of the test, it was found that the factors motivating the Y-generation academicians did not show a statistically significant difference according to the title and years of employment, since the p value was $0.184 > 0.05$ and $1.146 > 0.05$.

For the t test results of the factors that motivate Generation Z academicians according to gender, see Table 9.

Table 9. The t test results of the factors that motivate Generation Z academicians according to gender

Scale	Variable	N	\bar{X}	Ss	Sd	T	p
Motivating factors	Gender	Female	6.54	2.94	53	.481	.011
		Male	6.86	1.92			

$p < .05$

T test was conducted to find out whether the factors motivating the academicians of the generation Z differ notably according to gender. It was determined that the factors stimulating the female and male faculty members representing the generation Z show a statistically significant difference according to gender.

For ANOVA results of the factors that motivate Generation Z academicians according to the variables of years of employment and title, see Table 10.

Table 10. ANOVA results of the factors that motivate Generation Z academicians according to the variables of years of employment and title

Variable	Scale	N	Sd	F	p
Title	Teaching Staff	9	3-51	.309	.819
	Advisory Teacher	38			
	Associate Professor	4			
	Administrative Staff	4			
	Total	5			
Years of employment	Less than 1 year	19	4-50	.545	.704
	1-3 years	10			
	4-6 years	10			
	7-10 years	11			

One-way analysis of variance (one-way anova) was conducted to determine whether the motivation factors differ according to the years of employment and according to the title, based on the answers presented by the generation Z academicians participating in the survey. As a result of the test, it was found that the factors that stimulate Generation Z academics did not show a statistically significant difference according to the title and years of employment, since the p value was $0.184 > 0.05$ and $1.146 > 0.05$.

4. Conclusion and Discussion

Organizations are now aware that achieving competitive advantage and retaining the workforce is possible by increasing the motivation of employees and ensuring their continuous development. The business life, which was complex from the beginning, has become even more complicated with several generations working together at the same time.

As it can be inferred from what we have cited in the literature section of the study, the concept of generation refers to the human community that emerged in a certain age, experienced multiple different events, faced the same problems, and was affected by the same events. It is concluded that a new generation is formed in an average of 15-20 years. In the future, it is thought that these years will decrease due to the increase in changes and innovations in our lives. This research has been commenced considering that various factors will motivate individuals from different generations due to the unique characteristics of generations.

When the results of the research are examined, it has been observed that members of X generation are mostly motivated with the interesting scope of the work, the innovation opportunities and the use of new technologies at work, the members of the Y generation are stimulated by the interesting scope of the work, the atmosphere at workplace and wage system, while Generation Z are encouraged by the interesting scope of the work, the autonomous decision-making and task autonomy (autonomy).

As a result of the field research on the factors that motivate the academicians, it has been revealed that the factors that stimulate the X, Y, and Z generations do not differ significantly according to the variables of years of employment and title.

It was determined that the factors motivating the academicians who are Y generation members do not show a statistically noteworthy difference according to gender, but the factors stimulating the academicians representing the Z generation show a statistically significant variance according to gender.

As a result of the study, it has been revealed that the factors that motivate individuals change from generation to generation, and that companies that want to enrich their intellectual wealth and maintain their competitive advantage need to benefit from different and new motivational elements in order to make people more desirous of their duties. The possibilities of evolution and progress, which Alderfer put at the top of the ERG theory, should be offered to people at all times. It is an important duty of the administrators to motivate the members of the Y generation who will be the managers of the future, by providing professional and expertise development opportunities, creating a flexible work area, a trust environment in the sector and exemplary managerial practices. For this reason, in the same direction as Herzberg's Two Factor Theory, workers should be provided with opportunities to develop in their areas of expertise to motivate them, and they should think that they are valued through valuation and feedback.

As a result of the study, it was concluded that the factors that motivate individuals vary from generation to generation, and for this reason, public and private institutions that want to increase their income, continuously develop, and to ensure that individuals perform their duties more eagerly should pay regard to the generation their employees belong to, the basic characteristics of their workers, factors motivating them and act in this direction.

As a result of the field research, the following suggestions can be made:

- Conducting such field studies not only in academic institutions but also in other public and private institutions,
- Comparison of the results of the research done,
- Establishing motivation systems suitable for the research results obtained and thus confirming the reliability of the results.

References

- Albayrak, A., & Özkül, E. (2013). Y Kuşağı Turistlerin Destinasyon İmaj Algıları Üzerine Bir Araştırma [A Research on Generation Y Tourists Perceptions of Destination Image] *International Periodical for The Languages. Literature and History of Turkish or Turkic Volume 8(6)*, 15-31. <https://doi.org/10.7827/TurkishStudies.4916>
- Arsenault, P. M. (2004). Validating generational differences: *A legitimate diversity and leadership issue, Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 25.
- Ayhün Erden, S. (2013). Kuşaklar Arasındaki Farklılıklar ve Örgütsel Yansımaları [Differences Between Generations and Organizational Effects]. *Ekonomi ve Yönetim Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 2(1), 99.
- Berkup, S. (2015). Working with Generations X and Y in Generation Z Period: Management of Different Generations in Business Life. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(19), 218-229. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n19p218>
- Daft, R. L., & Marcic, D. (2004). *Understanding Management* (4th ed.). Thomson South-Western Publisher.
- Esiroglu, A. (2017). Akademisyenleri Motive Eden Faktörlerin Belirlenmesi: Farklı Kuşaklara Yönelik Bir Araştırma [A Research on Detecting the Factors Which Motivate Academicians From Different Generations]. [Master's Thesis]. Yıldız Teknik Üniversitesi.
- Hodgetts, R. (1999). *Yönetim: Teori, Süreç ve Uygulama* [Management - Theory, Process and Practice] (C. Çetin, & E. Can Mutlu, trans.). Der Yayınları.

- Kubatova, J., & Kukulikova, A. (2014). Cultural Differences in the Motivation of Generation Y Knowledge Workers. *Journal of Human Affairs*, 24(4), 511-523. <http://doi.org/10.2478/s13374-014-0245-6>
- Kyles, D. (2005). Managing Your Multigenerational Workforce. *Strategic Finance*, 87(6), 54.
- Lagree, J. C. (1991). *Generations*. Les Annales de Vaucresson.
- Lancaster, L., & Stillman, D. (2010). *When Generations Collide: Who They Are, Why They Clash, How to Survive the Generational Puzzle at Work*. Harper Business.
- Lester, S. W., Standifer, R. L., Schultz, N. J., & Windsor, J. M. (2012). Actual versus perceived generational differences at work: An empirical examination. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 19(3), 341-354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051812442747>
- Lotfi, A., Kabiri, S., & Ghasemlou, H. (2013). Değerler Değişimi ve Kuşaklararası Çatışma: İran Khoy Kenti Örneği [Conflict and Change Across Generations: The Khoy Case]. *Eskişehir Osmangazi Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 14(2), 93-113.
- Martin, A. (2005). From high maintenance to high productivity: What managers need to know about Generation Y. *Industrial and Commercial Training*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00197850510699965>
- McCrinkle, M., & Hooper, D. (2006). *Gen Y: Attaching, Engaging and Leading A New Generation at Work*. University of Tasmania, Hobart.
- Mubeen, S., & Reid, N. (2014). The Measurement of Motivation with Science Students. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 3(3), 129-144. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.3.3.129>
- Robbins, S. P., DeCenzo, D. A., & Coulter, M. K. (2013). *Fundamentals of Management: Essential Concepts and Applications*. Pearson.
- Shah, A., Haider, S., & Beh, S. (2016). Impact of Motivation Enhancing Practices and Mediating Role of Talent Engagement on Turnover Intentions: Evidence from Malaysia. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(4), 823-835. <https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/impact-motivation-enhancing-practices-mediating/docview/1836590435/se-2>
- Weingarten, R. M. (2009). Four Generations, One Workplace: A Gen X-Y Staff Nurse's View of Team Building in the Emergency Department. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 35(1), 27-30.
- Williams, K. C., & Page, R. A. (2011). Marketing to the generations. *Journal of behavioral studies in business*, 3(1), 37-53.
- Wong, M., Gardiner, E., Lang, W., & Coulon, L. (2008). Generational Differences in Personality and Motivation: Do They Exist and What are the Implications for the Workplace? *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 23(8), 878-890. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940810904376>
- Yüksekbilgili, Z. (2013). Türk Tipi Y Kuşağı [Turkish Type Y Generation]. *Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 12(45), 342-353. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/70475>
- Zhang, K., & Bonk, C. (2010). *Generational Learners and E-Learning Technologies. Handbook Of Research on Practices and Outcomes in E-Learning: Issues and Trends*. IGI-Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-60566-788-1.ch005>