

PEHPP 2019**Pedagogical Education: History, Present Time, Perspectives****DIALECTICS OF CONTRADICTIONS OF EDUCATIONAL SPACE
OF RUSSIAN SOCIETY**

V. V. Kaftan (a), A. V. Brega (b), L. V. Ryazanova (c)*

*Corresponding author

(a) Financial University under the Government of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia, kaftanvit@mail.ru

(b) Financial University under the Government of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia, avbrega@mail.ru

(c) Financial University under the Government of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia liliya_ryazanova@mail.ru

Abstract

The authors of the article analyze contradictions of the educational space of the Russian society. The issue of education was and is now relevant in modern scientific studies. Problems of Russian educational space can be explained, besides some other reasons, by availability of common world crisis tendencies in this area. The authors review negative trends in the educational area at the global level, the setrends being indicated by foreign and domestic researchers in their works associated with the problems of this article directly or indirectly. The statement is being formulated according to which the indicated trends are catalysts of forming negative atmosphere in Russian educational system and increasing antagonistic contradictions. As a research methodology, we chose a dialectical method as reflecting the research specificity most fully. This method allows revealing the research object's contradictions most fully. The authors of the article identify a number of contradictions of Russian educational system based on moral and axiological, procedural and organizational approaches, besides contradictions are being identified at civilization, state, society and personality levels. At the end the authors conclude that we can't interpret all contradictions of Russian educational system only as negative ones, since their presence is an integrated factor of functioning of the system.

2357-1330 © 2020 Published by European Publisher.

Keywords: Educational space, education system, dialectical method, contradictions, crisis.

1. Introduction

The processes taking place in Russian society are specifically refracted in the educational space, which, in the context of its spiritual and moral dimension, should be understood as an open system in which various processes aimed at shaping the personality of students, such as: education, upbringing and socialization of the younger generation, simultaneously function with reliance on value orientations. Separately, we note that educational space is understood as a special environment, the functioning of which is aimed at reproducing the above functions in accordance with moral and legal norms, traditions and customs. Thus, the study of the essence of the space in which the process of cultural growth takes place is, from our point of view, an urgent and relevant issue. Understanding the state of modern education and current trends gives us the opportunity to build further forecasts about its development and level negative points.

2. Problem Statement

In the modern educational space of Russia there are a number of contradictions, the designation of which is necessary to bring the entire educational system out of the crisis. In order to identify these contradictions, it is necessary to show signs of a crisis in the global educational space and determine the current state of the education system in Russia.

2.1. Crisis of global educational space

American political scientist and sociologist Khantington (2003) in his work spoke about the moral decline of the West, he saw its manifestation in a public interest decrease in the field of education. He associated this process with the development of a phenomenon called “mass culture”.

Even originated in the second half of the XX century the concept of human capital was not able to smooth out the general idea of the decline in the culture of the population and that in the value of education. According to this theory, one can benefit from the development of educational and cultural institutions. However, the benefit comes down to the material side of the value of the so-called human capitalization. The problem of human moral development remains a weakspot.

Thus, Aleksashina (2002) sees the reason for the current situation of the education system in global problems. These problems are manifested due to conflicts, the cause of which, according to the researcher, lies in different approaches to understanding the vector of world development and disagreements on how to solve this issue.

Both foreign and domestic researchers have not developed a unified approach to the issue of the impact of globalization on education. For example, Chernikova (2003) notes the positive impact of globalization on the educational space, thanks to which “a single educational space is being formed, expressed primarily in the harmonization of educational standards, approaches, curricula, specialties in different countries of the world” (p. 65).

On the other hand, Dobren'kov, (2005), emphasizes the negative role of globalization processes. In his opinion, their destructiveness is manifested in a decrease in the spiritual and moral qualities of society. In turn, the American scientist Altbach (2016) also speaks about the negative impact of globalization on

the educational space, the negative side of this phenomenon is characterized by an increase in inequality, particularly in higher education.

The American researcher Garz (1989) notes the fundamental paradigm shift that has occurred recently in the field of education, as a result of which many theories have arisen that claim to be comprehensive. Harz characterizes this state as crisis, due to the fact that postmodernism has a negative impact on the development of science, also the destruction of subjectivity in education takes place.

Postmodernism promotes continuing education and the diversity of educational programs. There is an increase in the importance of the activity of students themselves, the Internet is widely used for educational purposes, the percentage ratio between the learning and self-learning is changing (Papi, 2015). Postmodernism does not require giving up heritage, it recognizes the value of both positive and negative experiences. One of the properties of postmodernism is eclecticism, it manifests itself in the possibility of combining various teaching techniques in the field of education.

We base on the opinion of Kedrov (1979) that a person cannot know the real contradiction as directly given and that nothing but a vague idea of the contradiction cannot be directly obtained. In order to form an understanding of it, it is necessary to divide the contradiction into its two component parts, try to isolate them both mentally and physically, then the content of these opposite sides that contradict in the educational space of Russian society should be revealed.

The unity of contradictions will be possible if the opposing sides are movable for each other, moreover, they should have the ability to interpenetrate and be interconnected.

It is important to note that the training and upbringing programs that conflict in the educational space have little in common with scientific knowledge and often rely on unverified, and sometimes even mystical, teachings. Confirming this thesis, the American philosopher McClellan (1976) emphasized in the Philosophy of Education that the process of learning is characterized by the “birth” of its own distinctive “philosophy”, which is more like a parody than true philosophical reasoning.

Fejerabend (1986) also pointed to the possible strengthening of the position of parascientific knowledge, the evolution of scientifically based knowledge “does not mean that defeated rivals are deprived of their strengths and are not able to contribute to the development of our knowledge, they simply have temporarily exhausted their strength. They are able to be reborn and defeat their victors”.

Thus, the crisis in the global education system influenced the Russian education system. In addition, the development of the crisis in the education system is affected by the presence of pseudoscientific educational programs, and therefore the need for the ability to distinguish true knowledge from false one is actualized.

2.2. The state of education in modern Russia can be characterized by the following phenomena:

- massive and not adapted to domestic conditions borrowing, and sometimes thoughtless copying of foreign technologies of training and education;
- the impossibility of a significant part of graduates of higher educational institutions to find work in their specialty;
- low salaries of university teachers, especially in comparison with secondary school teachers;

- the desire of some students to obtain a diploma of higher education (especially in non-state universities) without any studies;
- oblivion of the spiritual and moral foundations and value ideals of education, which, ultimately, leads to the degradation and dehumanization of the entire educational space.

It should be recognized that over the past two decades, these contradictions have not yet received a sufficiently clear and deep theoretical understanding, and even less resolution. This fact and the contradictions described above reinforce the idea that there is an acute crisis state in the Russian educational space.

3. Research Questions

The following research questions are clues to understanding the current state of the educational environment of modern Russia.

- Do crisis trends in the global educational environment affect the origin and development of contradictions in the educational space of modern Russia?
- What contradictions exist in the modern educational environment?
- How can we classify the contradictions in the educational space of modern Russia?

4. Purpose of the Study

The purpose of the study is to identify a number of contradictions in the Russian educational space in order to identify the deep problems that underlie the crisis in the education system, draw attention to this problem and further work to resolve them.

5. Research Methods

It should be noted that the complexity and multidimensionality of the contradictions in the educational space of Russian society, the diversity of forms, their specific features, as well as manifestation in public life allow us to talk about their dialectic, internally opposite, character. It is in the clash of different positions that the further development of the educational space takes place. Accordingly, when analyzing the educational space of modern Russia, we will be guided by the dialectical method, in particular based on the law of unity and struggle of opposites.

Ilyenkov (1997) paid special attention to resolving contradictions using the dialectical method. Dialectical logic obliges not only not to be afraid of contradictions in the theoretical definition of the object, but also obliges to purposefully reveal them in the object, in order to find their rational resolution.

Mantatova (2006) notes that sustainable development is characterized by a system of equilibrium between the opposites of a single whole. This equilibrium is a decisive factor that has a positive effect on the system, thanks to which the system becomes stable and can withstand threats from both the external environment and internal processes.

6. Findings

In the Russian educational space, the authors identify contradictions within the framework of the spiritual-axiological and procedural-organizational approaches, as well as at the civilizational, state, public and personal levels.

6.1. Contradictions of the educational space of modern Russia in the framework of the moral-axiological and procedural-organizational approaches

There are various positions in determining the contradictions of the space of education. Thus, the teacher and priest Shestun (2002), defending his spiritual and axiological approach, believes that the contradictions in this area are closely related to the process of changing the value system in Russian society, which actualizes the following contradictions:

- between the traditional approach to perceiving life as something infinite and the approach according to which the greatest attention is paid to earthly life, as in the field of education;
- between family upbringing with the help of traditions and customs, and upbringing in an educational environment based on certain rules;
- between the anthropocentric (where the person is the center) direction and the christocentric (characteristic of Orthodoxy) one in education;
- between the approach that “man is the crown of nature” and the view that recognizes man’s sinful nature;
- between the psychophysical aspects and spiritual-moral ones of the student’s development.

Teacher Pakhotin (2010) in modern education focuses on the procedural and organizational approach, in which he distinguishes the following as the most significant contradictions:

- between the preservation of the external attributes of education forms traditional for Russia and the credit-modular system for organizing the educational process within the framework of the Bologna agreement with a violation of the priority of most credits, which leads to asynchronization of the educational process, the destruction of horizontal and vertical intersubject communications, necessary for the formation of a diversified specialist;
- between the declared mobility in a single educational space, requiring the observance of uniform teaching standards in accordance with certain professions, and the almost complete absence of real opportunities for our students to continue their education in leading universities of the European Union, the impossibility of large-scale correlation of training programs in different states;
- between the high requirements for the teacher’s qualifications (academic degree and academic title) and the real academic load, which involves focusing on the installation lecture and practical, essentially consulting, classes, which in turn does not imply the teacher’s special knowledge and skills;

- between the need to use the project method as the main teaching technology in a modular system, and the lack of these forms in the load of teachers due to the traditional planning of the activities of teachers in the framework of educational, scientific and methodological work;
- between the need to introduce, according to the requirements of the credit-modular system, the institute of curators who help determine the individual learning path and the lack of specialists trained for this mission and the planned time in the training programs for this activity (Carr, 2015);
- between the need to maintain the unity of academic tests (which gradually replace credits and exams) for testing students within the framework of a single educational space in at least one country for each academic discipline, and the democratization of higher education, which suggests the availability of alternative opportunities for certification of students.

6.2. Contradictions in the educational space of modern Russia at the civilizational, state, public and personal levels

It seems that for a more meaningful analysis of the existing contradictions in the Russian educational space, several levels should be designated: civilizational, state, public and personal, at which the contradictions in the space of Russian education will have their own specifics. Based on the presented approach, the following contradictions can be distinguished at the indicated levels.

At the civilizational level, the following contradictions appear:

- between the finiteness of the biological life of an individual (initiating a hedonistic attitude towards it), and the infinity of life of his/her social intellect (creative attitude to life);
- between different forms of cognition, such as rational and irrational ones (an example is the change of atheism to a religious, sometimes even mystical, worldview);
- between world, national-cultural and ethnic demands. Since, on the one hand, it is possible to comply with global standards in the field of education, it is imperative to follow this provision in connection with the adoption of the Bologna educational system. On the other hand, it is important, despite the processes of globalization, to preserve ethnocultural characteristics (Cankay, Kutlu, & Cebeci, 2015).

There occur contradictions at the state level:

- between the education management system, which is moving from administrative management to the legal regulation of the processes and conditions of education, and the lack of a single consolidated attitude among education officials toward the nature of the reforms, which was especially evident in the adoption of the federal state educational standard of the third generation and the preparation of the new Law “On Education”;
- between the state heading for turning an educational institution into an independent business entity, and changing the very meaning of the activity of this institution, aimed not at satisfying the educational needs of society, but at making profit;
- between the increase in the number of students, and the reduction of government spending on education, as well as the proclaimed formal equality for education, etc.

In particular, in Art. 5 of the Law of the Russian Federation “On Education” this principle is formulated as “the general accessibility of education”, but in fact it is accompanied by an increase in inequality in terms of access to education, which is manifested particularly in the impossibility of proper preparation for passing a unified state exam, especially for rural residents. At the same time, the Constitution of the Russian Federation indicates the availability of secondary vocational education, and the Law of the Russian Federation “On Education” indicates the possibility of obtaining free secondary vocational education on a competitive basis. Thus, its general availability is not confirmed.

At the public level, contradictions should be identified:

- between the mostly closed education system (with too many applicants), and labor market requirements, which is accompanied by a drop in the employment rates of university graduates in the specialties;
- between the social nature of knowledge acquired in the process of education, and the individual form of its production and use (Amsler & Facer, 2017);
- between the different orientations of the goals of education to train personnel for the needs of economic life, to develop a personality, to form a person’s intellectual and spiritual culture (Cuc, 2014).

At the personal level, the following contradictions actively influence the educational space:

- between the need for daily assimilation of a large amount of new information by students, and the limited time for its reflection and comprehension (Rye, 2014);
- between the increasing requirements for the initiative of scientific and pedagogical personnel in the light of theoretical and methodological training, the transition to the problematic nature of training, and the existing conservatism and inertia of teachers and students, the inability to creatively adopt innovative technologies, etc.

So, the educational space of modern Russian society combines various kinds of contradictions, because of which there are conflicts in the educational space.

7. Conclusion

One cannot disagree with researchers who determine the state of the educational environment as crisis due to the existence of antagonistic positions in the educational space. According to Popper (1983), the conflict over the goals of science will only escalate, especially during times of crisis. A variety of ideas about the state of educational space indicates the versatility of this phenomenon on the one hand, on the other hand this means a low degree of knowledge of the phenomenon. At the same time, it should be recognized that there is a dichotomy in all classifications as a central characteristic of educational space (Marin, 2012).

Meanwhile, it should be recognized that the contradictory nature of the existence of Russian education is not only inevitable, but also necessary. Lakatos (2003), for example, in his theory of research programs noted that different approaches always coexist in a state of conflict: “no accepted basic statement

alone gives a scientist the right to reject the theory. Such a conflict can give rise to a problem (more or less important), but under no circumstances can it lead to a “victory.” With sufficient resourcefulness and some luck, one can “progressively” defend any theory, even if this theory is false”.

Thus, the designation and overcoming of these contradictions is aimed at harmonizing the educational space of Russian society, which helps to increase the efficiency of the educational process and the release of additional energy for the spiritual and moral development of the personality of the young generation.

References

- Aleksashina, I. Yu. (2002). *Global Education: Problems and Solutions*. Saint Petersburg: SpecLit. [in Russ.].
- Altbach, P. G. (2016). *Global Perspectives on Higher education*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Amsler, S., & Facer, K. (2017). Contesting anticipatory regimes in education: exploring alternative educational orientations to the future. *Futures*, 94, 6-14.
- Cankay, S., Kutlu, Ö., & Cebeci, E. (2015). The Educational Policy of European Union. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 886-893.
- Carr, S. (2015). Interrogating Motivational Theory in the Context of Contemporary Educational Policy. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 191, 1383-1391.
- Chernikova, N. V. (2003). Global education as an objective process of our time. Man in the space of education: interuniversity. *Sat scientific Art. 3 / ed. M.S. Uvarova, T.V. Mordovtseva. Taganrog: TIU iE*. [in Russ.].
- Cuc, M. C. (2014). Educational Policiesto Promote Cultural Diversity. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 127(56).
- Dobren'kov, V. I. (2005). *The truth about globalization*. Report at the 36th World Congress of the International Institute of Sociologists (IIS) in Beijing. Golden Lion. 61-62, 35-36. [in Russ.].
- Fejerabend, P. (1986). *Science in a free society. Izbr. Trudy pometodologiinauki*. Moscow: Progress. [in Russ.].
- Garz, D. (1989). Der Niedergang des Paradigmas und das krisenbewusstsein. Derzeit pädagogische Kenntnisse der Bildungstheorie [The decline of the paradigm and crisis awareness. Currently educational knowledge of educational theory]. *Pädagogische Ausbildung*. 43(17).
- Ilyenkov, E. V. (1997). Dialektika abstraktnogo i konkretnogo v nauchno-teoreticheskom myshlenii [Dialectics of the abstract and concrete in scientific and theoretical thinking]. Moscow: Institut filosofii AN SSSR, 112. [in Russ.].
- Kedrov, B. M. (1979). *Analysis of the concept of dialectical contradiction*. Dialectical contradiction. Moscow: Politizdat. [in Russ.].
- Khantington, S. (2003). *Stolknoveniye tsivilizatsiy [Clash of civilizations]*. Moscow: AST. [in Russ.].
- Lakatos, I. (2003). *History of science and its rational reconstruction. Kun T. The structure of scientific revolutions*. Moscow: AST. [in Russ.].
- Mantatova, L. V. (2006). *Filosofiya ustoychivogo razvitiya. Globalistika: mezhdunarodnyy mezhdistsiplinarnyy entsiklopedicheskiy slovar*. Pod redaktsiyey I. I. Mazura. A. N. Chumakova. Moskva; Sankt-Peterburg; Nyu-York: Its«Elima»; ID «Piter». [in Russ.].
- Marin, S. M. (2012). Change and Innovation in the Educational Policies and Strategies for Human Resources Development. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 47, 1662-1667.
- McClellan, J. (1976). *Philosophy of Education*. N.-Y.: Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.
- Pakhotin, K. K. (2010). Bolonskiy process – k voprosu o nekotorykh protivorechiyah kreditno-modul'noj sistemy organizacii uchebnogo processa [The Bologna process - on the issue of some contradictions in the credit-modular system of organization of the educational process]. Retrieved from <http://newroz.ucoz.ru/publ/1-1-0-597> [in Russ.].

- Papi, C. (2015). Digital Spaces: Between Educational Tools and Student uses. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 37-58.
- Popper, K. (1983). *Logic and the growth of scientific knowledge*. Moscow: Progress. [in Russ.].
- Rye, S. A. (2014). The educational space of global online higher education. *Geoforum*, 51, 6-14.
- Shestun, E. (2002). Protivorechiya v sovremennoj sisteme obrazovaniya i puti ih razresheniya. Osnovy pravoslavnoj kul'tury. [Contradictions in the modern education system and ways to resolve them. Fundamentals of Orthodox culture]. Retrieved from <http://vos.1september.ru/2002/09/4.htm> [in Russ.].