

**PEDTR 2019****18<sup>th</sup> International Scientific Conference “Problems of Enterprise Development:  
Theory and Practice”****THEORY AND PRACTICE OF IPO BY RUSSIAN BANKS**

O. Y. Kuzmina (a), M. E. Konovalova (b)\*

\*Corresponding author

(a) Samara State University of Economics, 443090, Soviet Army Str., 141, Samara, Russia, pisakina83@yandex.ru

(b) Samara State University of Economics, 443090, Soviet Army Str., 141, Samara, Russia, mkoval@mail.ru

***Abstract***

Under growing globalization and capital mobility, the funding method through entering the public market becomes relevant, which makes it possible to realize the potential of the company when it reaches a sufficiently high level of its own development. However, before solving the issue of its own shares, the company must assess the potential to raise funds. The need for these resources does not always mean that IPO is the right decision. The main goal of the initial public offering process is to make a profit. For any IPO transaction in the financial market to become successful, it is necessary to clearly understand the goals of its implementation, make the right choice of the underwriter investment bank, the method of pricing securities, and correctly evaluate investment demand. IPO issues and the evaluation of this process efficiency resulting from its analysis are to be studied. Recent studies have been conducted mainly for foreign and developed countries. The issue of analyzing the IPO market, and in particular commercial banks, which are most in need of additional financial resources, has been examined extremely superficially. This study focuses on a comparative analysis of the advantages of this financial instrument in comparison with other funding methods and assesses the possibilities for Russian commercial banks to offer securities on foreign exchange platforms. The study is very timely, since there is a tendency to renewal the issue of shares by Russian companies.

2357-1330 © 2020 Published by European Publisher.

**Keywords:** Initial public offering (IPO), stocks, commercial banks, stock exchanges, underwriting.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 Unported License, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

The growing competition in the banking services market forcing these services to use digital technologies, which leads to the expanded range of banking products, and there is a need for new sources of financing of lending activities. Alternative ways of attracting financial opportunities, allowing to increase, for example, liquidity indicators, occupy an increasingly significant place in the list of tools and mechanisms for attracting additional funding at the present stage (Lu & Samdani, 2019; Morricone, Munari, Oriani, & de Rassenfosse, 2017). One of the positive ways of attracting additional investments that have been successful is the initial public offer of securities (IPO). Using this mechanism, a commercial bank increases the level of its own financial resources, becomes more prestigious, gaining confidence in the financial market (Westfall & Omer, 2018).

## 2. Problem Statement

Unfortunately, the mechanism of raising capital through IPOs on the open market in Russia is not used by commercial banks in such a large scale in comparison with developed countries. At the end of 2018, there was no a single IPO on the Moscow Exchange. Banks most often resort to using standard funding tools such as, for example, interbank lending.

## 3. Research Questions

The research questions involve solving the following problems. At the initial stage of the study, it is required to tackle the causes and risks due to which Russian issuers are not offered on exchange platforms. Particular attention should be paid to determining how effective IPO is for credit organizations. In the final part of the study, it is necessary to find out how the costs of this process are related to the benefits of its implementation.

## 4. Purpose of the Study

Since IPO is a complex multifactor process, in which the company faces additional time and material costs, it can be concluded that not every IPO can be successful (Chemmanur & Yan, 2017; Chourou, Saadi, & Zhu, 2018). Therefore, the purpose of the study is to assess the influence of IPOs of a commercial bank on its financial performance. Achieving this goal will help to identify the economic nature of IPOs, to carry out a comprehensive analysis of the benefits of this process, explaining the reasons for choosing this source of attracting investments, to study the experience of Russian companies in conducting IPOs at different exchange platforms.

## 5. Research Methods

The methodological basis of the study was classical tools of formal logic: analysis, synthesis, induction, deduction, abstraction, comparison, which allowed revealing the theoretical aspects of IPOs. Among the widely used methods, we can distinguish mathematical, statistical and graphical methods that

were used to determine the development trend and dynamics of the volume of the Russian IPO market. They have ensured the complexity of scientific research and the reliability of conclusions.

## 6. Findings

An analysis of the economic literature led to the conclusion that researchers have no common understanding of IPOs and there are several interpretations of this process. For example, the American stock market regulator Commission United States Securities and Exchange (SEC) and NASDAQ, the largest stock exchange, define the IPO procedure as a mechanism for the company to initially sell its shares to the public. The World Federation of Exchanges (WFE) gives a broader definition, emphasizing that IPO is not just an initial public offering of a company's stock to a wide range of investors, but it also involves listing and starting trading on the stock exchange.

The initial public offering of the company's shares to a wide range of investors, associated with listing of securities and starting trading on the stock exchange, is one of the common definitions that reveal the essence of the IPO process (Santos, 2017).

In Russian law, the definition of IPO is very vague and very superficial. According to the Federal law "On the securities market" of 22.04.1996 N 39-FZ, the following concepts exist that are close to international IPO definitions:

- Stock issue – the total of all securities of one issuer that provide the same amount of rights to their owners and have the same face interest rate in cases where the presence of face interest rate is provided for by the legislation of the Russian Federation;
- Public offering – public securities offering, including IPOs at organized tenders. IPOS are not for qualified investors at organized tenders.

Summarizing the definitions proposed in the law, we can conclude that in Russian law primary and secondary public offerings are not differentiated. Although it becomes quite obvious that the economic essence of IPO is the process of converting the issuer from a private closed company to an open public at the time when he first offers his shares to the unlimited number of investors.

Companies are forced to attract various instruments to increase their equity due to faltering economy. However, for example, they turn to bank loans and debt securities when it is necessary to cover only current expenses, while public offering is used as a way to generate capital, which marks a transition to a qualitatively new level of the company's development, despite its time-taking process (Boulton & Campbell, 2016).

Comparing various sources of financing companies, it becomes necessary to identify the advantages and disadvantages of IPOs by the company. The main advantage is the attraction of long-term capital, which can be used for any company needs: financing and R&D, acquisition or modernization of existing facilities, repayment of credit debt and others. The choice of IPO by companies is important, as future investors should know the uses of funds provided to the issuer.

It is also worth considering the enormous time costs and financial investments that are accompanied by the main risk - attracting insufficient funds to achieve the issuer's goal (Thanh, 2019). In this regard, it may be worth thinking about providing protection through hedging, insurance contracts and more.

Thus, the idea of IPO should be clearly understood and calculated to the smallest detail and various nuances by the company's management. And there should be careful planning of management.

As you know, the process of obtaining a public status by a company is long, having various goals, as well as implying not only IPO, but also time-taking preparation before going public: the choice of the main “assistant” - an investment bank-underwriter, the method of pricing securities attracting a wide range of investors (Vithanage, Neupane, & Chung, 2016).

Trying to assess the influence of factors restraining the development of the IPO market in our country, we have studied in detail 9 IPO transactions of Russian commercial banks, which have been offering on exchange platforms since 2007. The most striking and recent examples of effective public offering were Tinkoff Bank in 2013 and MOSCOW CREDIT BANK in 2015.

To evaluate the results of the bank's IPO, we need to analyze the financial performance of a credit institution. This process is quite time-consuming, since it involves assessing not only the financial condition of the company, but also the results of its financial and economic activities. A qualitatively conducted analysis can allow you to see the reserves of growth in value, increase the efficiency of the banking structure.

The analysis showed that the operational efficiency of Russian issuers in the context of selected indicators significantly increases in a year after IPO, and the maximum return is shown by indicators of return on assets. A positive relationship was found between the value of all performance indicators of the company in the year preceding IPO and their dynamics in subsequent years. Companies that have had IPO only in local shares demonstrate more confident growth in financial indicators in the first year after IPO than issuers with a foreign or double IPO. IPO cannot be considered as a way to solve the financial problems of a bank; it is just a procedure for obtaining additional funding. Share premium can be increased if a credit institution can successfully reinvest it.

In general, as can be seen from financial reporting indicators, estimated indicators, as well as data taken from open sources, the indicators of the studied commercial banks improved significantly after IPOs, which allows us to conclude that IPO, despite its high cost, generally brings more pros than cons. Factors holding back the development of the Russian IPO market are not so much internal as external. These include the underdevelopment of the Russian stock market, poor financial literacy of the population, the ineffective system of property protection, etc.

The IPO market in Russia has been developing for about twenty-five years. Since the beginning of this century, Russian companies have had about 150 IPOs, a significant part of which have chosen IPOs abroad. Choosing an exchange platform for the first public offering of your shares and raising funds is not an easy task. Some prefer large-volume external capital markets, which complicate the IPO process and require large financial costs, while others rely on their home, domestic market with a less developed IPO system and less capital to attract (Table 01).

**Table 01.** Comparison of the main costs of IPO on Russian and foreign sites

Costs	Russian sites	Foreign sites
Underwriter services	Up to 1-3%	Up to 7-9%
Taxes	0,2%	-
Commission costs	Up to 10 thousand dollars	Up to 1-2%
PR-campaign	10-15 thousand dollars	From 500 thousand to 1 million dollars
Total (% of issuing volumes)	2-4%	5-10%

Source: authors.

Entering a foreign exchange platform, companies seek to simultaneously attract the largest possible amount of financial resources and increase the organization's reputation to domestic investors (Jeppsson, 2018).

The most popular among Russian issuers is LSE - 53 Russian companies have held IPOs on London Stock Exchange (LSE) and on the Alternative Investment Market on LSE's AIM since 2000. This figure does not take into account the practice of double offering, when companies simultaneously issue shares on exchanges of different countries. The largest number of IPOs (31 transactions) was in 2007: Russian companies actually became favorites on LSE - on the main platform, about 60% of financial resources raised by Russian issuers. Since 2000, 18 Russian companies have doubled their shares, and all of them preferred British issuing platforms. The US sites are the second most popular platform among Russian issuers - 8 IPOs have been on ADRs on the NYSE and NASDAQ since 2000.

As for possible results on the Russian IPO market in 2020 as a whole, the following can be noted: more than 10 Russian companies are preparing for IPOs, and under favorable conditions a number of transactions can be expected by the end of 2019.

After reviewing the dynamics of the IPO market of Russian companies, it was noted: in Russian practice, the access to the stock exchange of small, developing companies is not widespread: unlike Western companies, in Russia IPOs are at a "mature age". In addition, companies prefer double listing - traditionally offer shares in London and the Moscow Exchange.

## 7. Conclusion

Numerous studies have found that there are few IPOs in countries with underdeveloped stock markets (Russia among these countries). This may be due to the fact that IPO creates a class of shareholders who are in a minority position in comparison with shareholders who have been already in the company before becoming public. The way minority shareholders are protected is determined by the legal and political situation in a particular country. Therefore, before choosing the exchange on which companies offer securities, it is important to take into account the situation in the country in which the specific exchange is located.

## References

- Boulton, T. J., & Campbell, T. C. (2016). Managerial confidence and initial public offerings. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 37, 375-392. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2016.01.015
- Chemmanur, T., & Yan, A. (2017). Product market advertising, heterogeneous beliefs and the long-run performance of initial public offerings. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 46, 1-24. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2017.06.010
- Chourou, L., Saadi, S., & Zhu, H. (2018). How does national culture influence IPO underpricing? *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 51, 318-341. DOI: 10.1016/j.pacfin.2018.08.015
- Federal law "On the securities market" of 22.04.1996 N 39-FZ. Retrieved from [http://www.consultant.ru/document/cons\\_doc\\_LAW\\_10148/](http://www.consultant.ru/document/cons_doc_LAW_10148/) Accessed: 14.12.2019.
- Jeppsson, H. (2018). Initial public offerings, subscription precommitments and venture capital participation. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 50, 650-668. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.01.010
- Lu, Y., & Samdani, T. (2019). The economic role of institutional investors in auction IPOs. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 56, 267-281. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2019.02.004

- Morricone, S., Munari, F., Oriani, R., & de Rassenfosse, G. (2017). Commercialization strategy and IPO underpricing. *Research Policy*, 46(6), 1133-1141. DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2017.04.006
- Santos, F. (2017). IPO market timing with uncertain aftermarket retail demand. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 42, 247-266. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2016.11.013
- Thanh, B. N. (2019). Macroeconomic uncertainty, the option to wait and IPO issue cycles. *Finance Research Letters*, In press. DOI: 10.1016/j.frl.2019.01.012
- Vithanage, K., Neupane, S., & Chung, R. (2016). Multiple lead underwriting syndicate and IPO pricing. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 48, 193-208. DOI: 10.1016/j.irfa.2016.10.001
- Westfall, T. J., & Omer, T. C. (2018). The emerging growth company status on IPO: Auditor effort, valuation, and underpricing. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 37(4), 315-334. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2018.07.003